



CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE  
LIBRARY.

Press	Shelf	No.
4	G	15

DC101315

The Oireachtas

Oireachtas Library



006852

941157

Houses of the Olreachtas

10/15  
127 20<sup>th</sup> Dec 1804

T H E  
C A S E  
O F  
I R E L A N D ' S  
Being BOUND by  
A C T S of Parliament  
I N  
E N G L A N D,  
Stated.

B Y  
*William Molyneux,*  
of *Dublin, Esq;*

*Dublin, Printed by Joseph Ray, and are  
to be Sold at his Shop in Skin-  
ner-Row. MDCXC VIII.*

THE  
CASE

OF

IRELAND

As bound by

Act of Parliament

ENGLAND

TO DEC 1935

15  
14  
13  
12  
11  
10  
9  
8  
7  
6  
5  
4  
3  
2  
1  
Printed by Joseph Bay, and are  
to be had at the shop in St. M  
MCCXXVIII



---

---

TO THE  
KING.

SIR,

**T**HE Expedition  
Your MAJESTY  
Undertook into  
*England*, to Res-  
cue these Nations from Ar-  
bitrary Power, and those Un-  
just Invasions that were made

on our Religion, Laws,  
Rights and Liberties, was an  
Action in it Self so *Great*,  
and of such Immense Bene-  
fit to our Distressed Coun-  
tries, that 'tis Impossible to  
give it a Representation so  
*Glorious* as it Deserves. Of  
all Your Majesty's Kingdoms,  
none was more Sensible of  
the Happy Effects thereof,  
than Your *Kingdom of Ireland*,  
which from the Depth of  
Misery and Despair, is Rai-  
sed by your Majesty to a Pro-  
sperous and Flourishing Con-  
dition. And we presume  
most humbly to Implore the  
Continuance of Your Maje-  
sty's Graces to us, by Prote-  
cting

King and Defending those  
*Rights* and *Liberties* which we  
have Enjoy'd under the  
Crown of *England* for above  
Five Hundred Years, and  
which some of late do En-  
deavour to Violate. Your  
most Excellent Majesty is  
the *Common Indulgent Father* of  
all your Countries; and have  
an *Equal* Regard to the *Birth-*  
*Rights* of all Your Children;  
and will not permit the *Eld-*  
*est*, because the *Strongest*, to  
Encroach on the Possessions  
of the *Younger*: Especially  
considering with what Duty,  
Loyalty, and Filial Obedi-  
ence, we have ever behav'd  
our selves to Your Majesty:

Infomuch that I take leave  
to Assert, That Your Maje-  
sty has not in all Your Domi-  
nions a People more *United*  
and *Steady* to Your Interests,  
than the *Protestants of Ireland*:  
Which has manifestly Ap-  
pear'd in all our Actions and  
Parliamentary Proceedings,  
since Your Majesty's Happy  
Accession to the Throne.  
To Relieve the Distress'd,  
has ever been the Peculiar  
*Character* of Your Majesty's  
*Glorious Family*. The *United*  
*Provinces* have found this in  
Your *Famous Ancestors*: And  
all *Europe* has been Sensible  
of this in Your *Royal Person*.  
To this End more particu-  
larly

larly You came into these Kingdoms, as Your Majesty has been pleas'd to Declare: And as You have Establish'd the *Rights and Liberties of England* on a Foundation that, we hope, can *never be Shaken*; So we doubt not but Your Sacred Majesty will have a Tender Care of Your Poor *Subjects of Ireland*, who are *Equally* Your Subjects, as the rest of Your People.

Pardon, I most Humbly beseech Your Majesty, my Presumption, in Appealing to You on this Occasion: Nothing but the *Dignity* and *Weight* of the Subject, can

Excuse my Boldness herein :  
But if That be Consider'd,  
it Deserves the Regard of  
the *Greatest Prince* ; 'Tis no  
less than the *Rights* and *Li-*  
*berties* of one of His King-  
doms, on which their Reli-  
gion, their Property, their  
*All* Depends ; and which  
they have Enjoyed for Five  
Hundred Years past. This,  
I think, I have clearly shewn  
in the following Leaves : I  
am sure, if my *Management*  
thereof, were suitable to the  
*Justice* of our Cause, our  
*Friends* of *England* can no  
longer Doubt it.

At Your Majesty's Feet  
therefore, I throw it ; and  
with it the Unworthy Au-  
thor thereof,

*(May it please Your Majesty)*

*Your Majesty's*

*Most Dutiful, Loyal,*

*and Obedient*

*Subject and Servant,*

William Molyneux,

At Your Majesty's Feet  
therefore, I throw it; and  
with it the Unworthy An-  
nor thereof

(The King's Majesty)

and

and

and

and

William Molyneux

Houses of the Oireachtas

---

---

# PREFACE

TO THE

READER:

**I** *Have nothing to Offer  
in this Preface, more  
than to let the Reader  
know, how Unconcern'd  
I am in any of those Particular  
Inducements, which might seem  
at*

at this Juncture to have Occasi-  
on'd the following Discourse.

I have not any Concern in  
Wooll, or the Wooll-Trade.  
I am no wise Interested in the  
Forfeitures, or Grants. I am  
not at all Solicitous, whether the  
Bishop, or Society of Derry  
Recover the Land they Contest  
about.

So that, I think, I am as Free  
from any Personal Prejudice  
in this Cause, as 'tis possible to  
Expect any Man should be, that  
has an Estate and Property in  
this Kingdom, and who is a  
Member of Parliament there-  
in. I hope therefore 'tis a Pub-  
lick

lick Principle that has mov'd  
me to this Undertaking : I am  
sure, I am not Conscious to my  
Self of any other Intention.

I have heard it has been said,  
That perhaps I might run some  
Hazard in Attempting this Ar-  
gument ; But I am not at all Ap-  
prehensive of any such Danger :  
We are in a Miserable Condition  
indeed, if we may not be Al-  
low'd to Complain, when we  
think we are Hurt ; and to  
give our Reasons with all Mo-  
desty and Submission. But  
were it otherwise, it would not  
in the least Affect, or Discourage  
me in an Attempt, where I think  
my Cause Good, and my Country

Concern'd, and where I am fully  
perswaded, the True Interest  
of England is as Deeply En-  
gaged, as the Protestant Interest  
of Ireland.

The Great and Just Coun-  
cil of England freely Allows  
all Addresses of this sort To  
Receive and Hear Grievances,  
is a great part of their Business;  
and to Redress them, is their  
Chief Glory. But this is not  
to be done, till they are laid be-  
fore them, and fairly Stated for  
their Consideration.

This I have endeavour'd in  
the following Paper. What Suc-  
cess it may have, I am not very  
sollici-

*solicitous about. I have Done*  
*what I thought was my Duty,*  
*and Commit the Event to GOD*  
*Almighty, and the Wise*  
*Council of England.*

*Dublin, Febr. 8.*

169<sup>7</sup>8.

W. MOLYNEUX

---

The

Felicitation about the late Queen  
and I thought ever my Duty  
and Content the Answer to GOD  
Almighty, and the Wife

Council of England.

Printed by

John Sturges  
at the Sign of the

1693

ENGLAND

STATED

W. MORTIMER

W. MORTIMER  
of the County of  
Middlesex, Esquire  
of the County of  
Middlesex, Esquire

---

W. MORTIMER  
of the County of  
Middlesex, Esquire  
of the County of  
Middlesex, Esquire

The  
Printed by



The CASE  
OF  
IRELAND's  
Being Bound by  
Acts of Parliament  
IN  
ENGLAND,  
STATED.

**I** HAVE ever been fully persuaded of the strict Justice of the Parliament of *England*, that I could never think that any of Their Proceedings, which might seem to have the least Tendency to Hardship on their Neighbours, could arise from any thing but want of Due Information, and a right State of the

Introduction,  
and Occasion  
of this Dis-  
quisition.

Busine's under their Consideration. The want of which, in Matters wherein another People are chiefly Concern'd, is no Defect in the Parliament of *England*, but is highly Blameable in the Persons whose Affair is Transacting, and who permit that Illustrious Body of Senators to be Mis-inform'd, without giving them that Light that might Rectifie them.

I could never Imagine that those Great *Affertors* of their *Own Liberties* and *Rights*, could ever think of making the least Breach in the *Rights* and *Liberties* of their *Neighbours*, unless they thought that they had *Right* so to do; and this they might well surmise, if their Neighbours quietly see their *Inclosures* Invaded, without *Expostulating* the Matter at least, and shewing Reasons, why they may think that *Hardships* are put upon them therein.

The Consideration hereof has Excited me to undertake this *Disquisition*, which I do with all Imaginable *Diffidence* of my own Performance, and with the most profound

found Respect and Deference to that August Senate. The present Juncture of Affairs, when the Business of *Ireland* is under the Consideration of both Houses of the English Parliament\*, seems to require this from some Person; and seeing all Others silent, I venture to Expose my own Weakness, rather than be wanting at this time to my Country. I might say indeed to Mankind; for 'tis the Cause of the whole Race of *Adam*, that I Argue: *Liberty* seems the Inherent Right of all *Mankind*; and on whatsoever Ground any one Nation can Challenge it to themselves, on the same Reason may the Rest of *Adam's* Children Expect it.

\* Bishop of Derry in the House of Lords, and Prohibiting Exportation of our Woollen Manufacture in the House of Commons.

If what I Offer herein seems to carry any Weight, in relation to my own Poor Country, I shall be abundantly happy in the Attempt: But if after all, the Great Council of *England* Resolve the contrary, I shall then believe my self to be in an Error, and with the lowest Submission ask Pardon for my Assurance. However, I humbly presume I shall not be hardly Censur'd

by them, for offering to lay before them a fair State of our Case, by such Information as I can procure; especially when at the same time I declare my Intention of a Submissive Acquiescence in whatever they Resolve for or against what I Offer.

Subject of this Enquiry.

The Subject therefore of our present Disquisition shall be, *How far the Parliament of England may think it Reasonable to intermeddle with the Affairs of Ireland, and Bind us up by Laws made in their House.*

And seeing the Right which England may pretend to, for Binding us by their Acts of Parliament, can be founded only on the *Imaginary Title of Conquest or Purchase*, or on *Precedents and Matters of Record*; We shall Enquire into the following Particulars.

(1.) *First, How Ireland became a Kingdom Annex'd to the Crown of England.* And here we shall at large give a faithful Narrative of the *First Expedition of the Britains* into this Country, and King  
Henry

*Henry the Second's Arrival here, such as our best Historians give us.*

(2.) *Secondly*, We shall Enquire, Whether this Expedition, and the English Settlement that afterwards follow'd thereon, can properly be call'd a *Conquest*? Or whether any Victories obtain'd by the English, in any succeeding Ages in this Kingdom, upon any *Rebellion*, may be call'd a *Conquest* thereof?

(3.) *Thirdly*, Granting that it were a *Conquest*, we shall Enquire what *Title* a *Conquest* gives.

(4.) *Fourthly*, We shall Enquire what *Concessions* have been from time to time made to *Ireland*, to take off what even the most Rigorous Assertors of a Conquerour's Title do pretend to. And herein we shall shew by what Degrees the English Form of Government, and the English Statute-Laws, came to be received among us: And this shall appear, to be wholly by the *Consent* of the People and Parliament of *Ireland*.

(5.) *Fifthly*, We shall Enquire into the Precedents and Opinions of the Learned in the Laws, relating to this Matter, with Observations thereon.

(6.) *Sixthly*, We shall Consider the Reasons and Arguments that may be farther Offered on one side and t'other ; and shall Draw some General Conclusions from the Whole.

*Britain's first Expedition into Ireland.*

As to the First, We shall find the History of the First Expedition of the *English* into *Ireland*, to be briefly thus : In the Reign of King *Henry* the Second, *Dermot Fitzmurchard*, commonly called *Mac-Morrogh*, Prince of *Leinster*, who was a Man Cruel and Oppressive, after many Battels with other Princes of *Ireland*, and being Beaten and put to Flight by them, Apply'd for Relief to King *Henry* the Second, who was then busied in *Aquitain* ; the King was not then in such Circumstances as to afford him much Help : However thus much he did for him,  
By

By Letters Patents he granted License to all his Subjects throughout his Dominions, to Assist the said Prince to Recover his Dominions. These Letters Patents are to be seen in \* *Giraldus Cambrensis*, \* *Giraldus*  
 who was Historiographer and Secretary to King *Hen. II.* and Accompanied him in his Expedition into *Ireland*, and from him it is that we have this Relation. The *Irish Prince* brought these Letters into *England*, and caused them to be Read in the Audience of many People; Beating up, as it were, for Voluntiers and free Adventurers into *Ireland*. At length, *Richard Earl of Strigul* (now *Chepstow* in *Monmouthshire*) Son of *Earl Gilbert*, call'd *Strongbow*, Agreed with him, to Assist him in the Recovery of his Country, on Condition that *Dermot* should give him his Eldest Daughter in Marriage, and his Kingdom of *Leinster* after his Death. About the same time *Robert Fitz-Stephen*, Governour of *Aberleste* in *Wales*, Agreed likewise with *Dermot* to help him, on Condition that he would grant to him and *Maurice Fitzgerald* in Fee

*Giraldus*  
*Cambr. Hib.*  
*Expug. Lib. I.*  
*C. 12*

the City of *Wexford*, with two Cantreds or Hundreds of Land near adjoining.

These Adventurers afterwards went over, and were successful in Treating with the *Irish*, and Taking *Wexford*, *Waterford*, *Dublin*, and other Places. Whereupon Earl *Richard Strongbow* married *Dermot's* Daughter, and according to Compact, succeeded him in his Kingdom.

*Hen. II. comes into Ireland.*

A little after the Descent of these Adventurers, King *Henry II.* himself went into *Ireland* with an Army, in *November 1172.* and finding that his Subjects of *England* had made a very good hand of their Expedition, he obtain'd from Earl *Richard Strongbow* a Surrender of *Dublin*, with the Cantreds adjoining, and all the Maritime Towns and Castles. But *Strongbow* and his Heirs were to Enjoy the Residue of *Dermot's* Principality.

*Irish* submit to him.

King *Hen. II.* Landed at *Waterford* from *Milford* in *Pembrookshire*, and staying there some few days,

(says

(says Giraldus Cambrensis) Rex Corcagiensis Dormitius advenit ei, & tam Subjectionis vinculo quam fidelitatis Sacramento Regi Anglorum se sponte submitit. He freely swore Fealty and Subjection to the King of England.

From thence he went to *Lismore*, and thence to *Cashel*, where *Dunaldus* King of *Lymerick*, se quoque fidelem Regi exhibuit. The like did all the Nobility and Princes in the South of *Ireland*.

Afterwards he marched to *Dublin*, and there the Princes of the Adjacent Countries came to him, & sub Fidelitatis & Subjectionis obtentu a Rege Pacem impetrabant. Thus *Cambrensis* in his *Hibernia Expugnata*; and there he mentions the several Princes that came in, vizt. *Macshaghlin* King of *Ophaly*, *O Carrol* King of *Uriel* (now *Lowth*) *O Rourk* King of *Meath*, *Rotherick* *O Connor* King of *Connaught*, and *Monarch* as it were of the whole Island, with divers others, qui firmissimis fidelitatis & subjectionis vinculis Domino Regi innodarunt & in  
*singu*

*singulari Rotherico Conactiæ Principe  
tanquam Insulæ Monarchâ subditi  
redduntur universi, nec alicujus fere  
in Insula vel nominis vel ominis erat  
qui Regiæ Majestati & Debitam Do-  
mino Reverentiam, non exhiberet.*

The same Relation we have from *Roger Hoveden* (*Annal. par-  
poster. fol. 301.*) About the Ka-  
lends of *November 1172.* (saith he)  
*King Henry II. of England,* took  
Shipping for *Ireland* at *Milford*, and  
Landed at *Waterford*, & *ibi vene-  
runt ad eum Rex Corcagiensis, Rex  
de Lymeric, Rex de Oxenie, Rex Mi-  
diæ, & fere omnes Hiberniæ Poten-  
tes.* And a little afterwards in the  
same place speaking of *King Henry*  
the Second's being at *Waterford*,  
*ibidem venerunt ad Regem Angliæ  
omnes Archiepiscopi, Episcopi, &  
Abbates totius Hiberniæ, & recepe-  
runt eum in Regem & Dominum Hi-  
bernæ jurantes ei & heredibus suis  
Fidelitatem & Reguandi super eos  
Potestatem in perpetuum & inde De-  
derunt ei Chartas suas. Exemplo au-  
tem Clericorum predicti Reges &  
Principes Hiberniæ receperunt simili  
modo Henricum Regem Angliæ in*  
Domi-

*Dominum & Regem Hiberniæ, & sui devenerunt, & ei & Heredibus suis Fidelitatem contra omnes Jura-  
verunt.*

*Matthew Paris likewise in his History speaking of King Hen. II. being in Ireland, saith, Archiepiscopi & Episcopi ipsum in Regem & Dominum receperunt, & ei Fidelitatem & Homagium Juraverunt.*

*John Brampton Abbot of Fornalensis in his Historia Fornalensi, pag. 1070. speaking of Hen. II. hath these words, Recepit ab unoquoque Archiepiscopo & Episcopo Hiberniæ Literas cum Sigillis suis in modum Chartæ pendentibus, Regnum Hiberniæ sibi & Hæredibus suis Confirmantes, & Testimonium perhibentes ipsos in Hibernia eum & Heredes suos sibi in Reges & Dominos in perpetuum Constituisse. All the Archbishops, Bishops, and Abbots of Ireland came to the King of England, and Received him for King and Lord of Ireland, swearing Fealty to him and his Heirs for ever. The Kings also and Princes of Ireland, did in like manner Receive Henry  
King*

King of *England*, for Lord of *Ireland*, and became his Men, and did him Homage, and swore Fealty to him and his Heirs against all Men. And he received Letters from them with their Seals pendent in manner of Charters, confirming the Kingdom of *Ireland* to him and his Heirs; and Testifying, that they in *Ireland* had Ordain'd him and his Heirs to be their King and Lord of *Ireland* for ever. After which, he return'd into *England* in *April* following, vizt. *April* 1173.

*Ireland* whether ever Conquer'd.

I come now to Enquire into our Second Particular propos'd, *Viz.* Whether *Ireland* might be properly said to be Conquer'd by King *Henry* the Second, or by any other Prince in any succeeding Rebellion. And here we are to understand by Conquest, an Acquisition of a Kingdom by Force of Arms, to which, Force likewise has been Oppos'd, if we are to understand Conquest in any other sense, I see not of what Use it can be made against *Ireland*s being a Free Country. I know *Conquestus* signifies a Peaceable Acquisition, as well as an Hostile Subju-

Subjugating of an Enemy. *Vid.* *Spelman's Glos.* And in this sense *William* the First is call'd the *Conquerour*, and many of our Kings have used the *Epocha post Conquestum*. And so likewise *Henry* the Second stiled himself *Conquestor & Dominus Hiberniæ*; but that His Conquest was no violent Subjugation of this Kingdom, is manifest from what foregoes: For here we have an Intire and Voluntary Submission of all the Ecclesiastical and Civil States of *Ireland*, to King *Henry II.* without the least Hostile Stroke on any side; We hear not in any of the Chronicles of any Violence on either Part, all was Transacted with the greatest Quiet, Tranquility, and Freedom, imaginable. I doubt not but the Barbarous People of the Island at that time were struck with Fear and Terror of King *Hen. II's* Powerful Force which he brought with him; but still their Easie and Voluntary Submissions Exempts them from the Consequents of an *Hostile Conquest*, whatever they are; where there is no Opposition, *such a Conquest* can take no place.

I have before taken Notice of *Henry the II's* using the Stile of *Conquestor Hiberniæ* \*; I presume no Argument can be drawn from hence, for *Ireland's* being a Conquer'd Country; for we find that many of the Kings of *England* have used the *Æra* of *post Conquestum*; *Edward the Third* was the first that used it in *England*, and we frequently meet with *Henricus post Conquestum Quartus*, &c. as taking the *Norman* Invasion of *William the First*, for a *Conquest*. But I believe the People of *England* would take it very ill to be thought a *Conquer'd Nation*, in the sense that some impose it on *Ireland*: And yet we find the same Reason in one Case, as in t'other, if the Argument from the King's Stile of *Conquestor* prevail. Nay, *England* may be said much more properly to be *Conquer'd* by *William the First*, than *Ireland* by *Henry the Second*: For we all know with what Violence and Opposition from *Harrold, K. William* obtain'd the Kingdom, after a Bloody Battle nigh *Hastings*. Whereas *Henry*  
the

\* *Mr. Seiden* will not allow that ever *H. 2.* used this Stile. *Tit. Hon. Par. 2. C. 3. Sect. 26.*

the Second receiv'd not the least Opposition in *Ireland*, all came in Peaceably, and had large Concessions made them of the like Laws and Liberties with the People of *England*, which they gladly Accepted, as we shall see hereafter. But I am fully satisfy'd, that neither King *William* the First, in his Acquisition of *England*, or *Henry II.* in his Acquest of *Ireland*, obtain'd the least Title to what some would give to *Conquerours*. Tho' for my own part, were they *Conquerours* in a sense never so strict, I should enlarge their Prerogative very little or nothing thereby, as shall appear more fully in the Sequel of this Discourse.

Another Argument for *Henry* the Second's *Hostile Conquest* of *Ireland* is taken from the Opposition which the Natives of *Ireland* gave to the first Adventurers, *Fitz-Stephens*, *Fitzgerald*, and *Earl Strongbow*, and the Battles they fought in assisting *Mac-Morogh* Prince of *Leinster*, in the Recovery of his Principality.

'Tis certain there were some Conflicts between them and the *Irish*, in which the Latter were constantly beaten; but certainly the Conquests obtain'd by those Adventurers, who came over only by the King's *License* and *Permission*, and not at all by his particular *Command* (as is manifest from the words of the Letters Patents of License recited by *Giraldus Cambrensis, Hib. Expug. pag. 760. Edit. Francof. 1603. Angl. Norm. Hiber. Camd.*) can never be call'd the Conquest of *Henry* the Second, especially considering that *Henry* the Second himself does not appear to have any Design of Coming into *Ireland*, or Obtaining the Dominion thereof, when he gave to his Subjects of *England* this License of Assisting *Mac-Morrogh*. But I conceive rather the contrary appears, by the Stipulations between *Mac-Morrogh* and the Adventurers, and especially between him and *Strongbow*, who was to succeed him in his Principality.

From what foregoes, I presume it Appears that *Ireland* cannot properly be said *so to be Conquer'd* by *Henry* the Second, as to give the Parliament of *England* any Jurisdiction over us ; it will much more easily Appear, that the *English Victories* in any succeeding *Rebellions* in that Kingdom, give no *Pretence* to a *Conquest*: If every Suppression of a Rebellion may be call'd a *Conquest*, I know not what Country will be excepted. The *Rebellions* in *England* have been frequent; in the Contests between the Houses of *York* and *Lancaster*, one side or other must needs be *Rebellious*. I am sure the *Commotions* in King *Charles* the First's time, are stiled so by most *Historians*. This *Pretence* therefore of *Conquest* from *Rebellions*, has so little Colour in it, that I shall not insist longer on it: I know *Conquest* is an hateful word to *English Ears*, and we have lately seen a Book \* undergo a severe *Censure*, for offering to broach the *Doctrine of Conquest* in the *Free Kingdom of England*.

Suppressing  
Rebellions,  
whether a  
Conquest.

\* Bishop of  
*Salisbury's* Pa-  
storal Letter.

What Title is  
obtain'd by  
Conquest.

But, to take off all Pretence from this Title by *Conquest*, I come in the third Place to enquire, *What Title Conquest gives by the Law of Nature and Reason.*

No Title  
gain'd by an  
Unjust Con-  
quest.

And in this particular I conceive, that if the Aggressor or Insultér invades a Nation *Unjustly*, he can never thereby have a Right over the Conquered : This I suppose will be readily granted by all men : If a Villain with a Pistol at my Brest, makes me convey my Estate to him, no one will say that this gives him any Right : And yet just such a Title as this has an *Unjust* Conquerour, who with a Sword at my Throat forces me into Submission ; that is, forces me to part with my *Natural Estate*, and Birth-right, of being govern'd only by Laws to which I give my *Consent*, and not by his Will, or the Will of any other.

What Title by  
a Just Con-  
quest.

Let us then suppose a *Just* Invader, one that has *Right* on his side to Attack a Nation in an *Hostile* manner ; and that those who oppose

oppose him are in the *Wrong*: Let us then see what Power he gets, and over whom,

First, 'Tis plain he gets by his Conquest no Power over those who None over the Assisters in the Conquest, *Conquered with him*; they that fought on his side, whether as private Soldiers or Commanders, cannot suffer by the Conquest, but must at least be as much Freemen, as they were before: If any lost their Freedom by the *Norman Conquest*, (supposing King *William the First* had *Right* to *Invade England*) it was only the *Saxons* and *Britains*, and not the *Normans* that *Conquered with him*. In like manner supposing *Hen. II.* had *Right* to *Invade this Island*, and that he had been opposed therein by the Inhabitants, it was only the *Antient Race* of the *Irish*, that could suffer by this Subjugation; the *English* and *Britains*, that came over and *Conquered with him*, retain'd all the Freedoms and Immunities of *Free-born Subjects*; they nor their Descendants could not in reason lose these, for being Successful and *Victorious*; for so, the state of

*Conquerours* and *Conquered* shall be equally *Slavish*. Now 'tis manifest that the great Body of the present People of *Ireland*, are the Progeny of the *English* and *Britains*, that from time to time have come over into this Kingdom; and there remains but a meer handful of the Ancient *Irish* at this day; I may say, not one in a thousand: So that if I, or any body else, claim the like Freedoms with the Natural Born *Subjects of England*, as being Descended from them, it will be impossible to prove the contrary. I conclude therefore, That a *Just Conquerour* gets no Power, but only over those who have *Actually Assisted* in that *Unjust Force* that is used against him.

None over the  
Non-Opposers

And as those that joyned with the *Conquerour* in a *Just Invasion*, have lost no Right by the *Conquest*; so neither have those of the Country who *Oppos'd him not*: This seems so reasonable at first Proposal, that it wants little Proof. All that gives Title in a *Just Conquest*, is the *Opposers* using *Brutal Force*, and quitting the Law of Reason,

Reason, and using the Law of Violence; whereby the Conquerour is entitled to use him as a *Beast*; that is, Kill him, or Enslave him.

Secondly, Let us consider what Power that is, which a *Rightful Conquerour* has over the Subdued *Opposers*: And this we shall find extends little farther than over the *Lives* of the *Conquer'd*; I say, *little farther* than over their *Lives*; for how far it extends to their *Estates*, and that it extends not at all to Deprive their *Posterity* of the *Freedoms* and *Immunities* to which all *Mankind* have a *Right*, I shall shew presently. That the *Just Conquerour* has an Absolute Power over the *Lives* and *Liberties* of the *Conquer'd*, appears from hence, Because the *Conquer'd*, by putting themselves in a *State of War* by using an *Unjust Force*, have thereby *forfeited* their *Lives*. For quitting *Reason*, (which is the Rule between Man and Man) and using *Force* (which is the way of *Beasts*) they become liable to be destroy'd by him against whom they use *Force*, as any savage wild *Beast*

Just Conquerour intitled to the Lives of the Opposers.

C 3. that

that is Dangerous to his Being;

And this is the Case of *Rebels* in a settled Commonwealth, who forfeit their Lives on this Account. But as for forfeiting their Estates, it depends on the Municipal Laws of the Kingdom. But we are now Enquiring what the Consequents will be between two Contesting Nations.

Which brings me to Consider how far a Just Conquerour has Power over the *Posterity* and *Estates* of the Conquer'd.

Just Conquerour how far impower'd over the Posterity of the Opposers.

As to the *Posterity*, they not having Joyn'd or Assisted in the *Forcible Opposition* of the Conquerours *Just Arms*, can lose no Benefit thereby. 'Tis unreasonable any Man should be punish'd but for his own fault. Man being a free Agent, is only Answerable for his own Demerits; and as it would be highly Unjust to Hang up the Father for the Sons Offence, so the Converse is equally Unjust, that the Son shou'd suffer any Inconvenience for the Fathers Crime.

A Father hath not in himself a power over the Life or Liberty of his Child, so that no Act of his can possibly forfeit it. And tho we find in the Municipal Laws of particular Kingdoms, that the Son loses the Fathers Estate for the Rebellion or other Demerit of the Father, yet this is Consented and Agreed to, for the Publick Safety, and for deterring the Subjects from certain Enormous Crimes that would be highly prejudicial to the Commonwealth. And to such Constitutions the Subjects are bound to submit, having consented to them, tho' it may be unreasonable to put the like in Execution between *Nation* and *Nation* in the *State of Nature*: For in Settled Governments, Property in Estates is Regulated, Bounded and Determined by the Laws of the Commonwealth, consented to by the People, so that in these, 'tis no Injustice for the Son to lose his Patrimony for his Fathers Rebellion or other Demerit.

How far over  
their Estates.

If therefore the *Posterity* of the Conquer'd are not to suffer for the Unjust *Opposition* given to the Victor by their *Ancestors*, we shall find little place for any Power of the Conquerours over the *Estates* of the Subdued. The *Father* by his Miscarriages and Violence can forfeit but his own Life, he involves not his *Children* in his Guilt or Destruction. His *Goods*, which *Nature* (that willeth the Preservation of all *Mankind* as far as possible) hath made to belong to his *Children* to sustain them, do still continue to belong to his *Children*. 'Tis true indeed, it usually happens that *Damage* attends Unjust Force; and as far as the *Repair* of this *Damage* requires it, so far the Rightful Conquerour may invade the *Goods* and *Estate* of the Conquer'd; but when this *Damage* is made up, his Title to the *Goods* ceases, and the Residue belongs to the *Wife* and *Children* of the Subdued.

It may seem a strange Doctrine, that any one should have a Power over the *Life* of another Man, and  
not

not over his *Estate*; but this we find every day, for tho' I may *Kill* a Thief that sets on me in the High-way, yet I may not *take away his Money*; for 'tis the *Brutal Force* the Aggressor has used, that gives his Adversary a Right to take away his *Life*, as a noxious Creature. But 'tis only *Damage sustain'd*, that gives Title to another Mans *Goods*.

It must be confess'd that the Practice of the World is otherwise, and we commonly see the Conqueror (whether *Just* or *Unjust*) by the Force he has over the Conquer'd, compels them with a Sword at their Brest to stoop to his Conditions, and submit to such a Government as he pleases to Afford them. But we Enquire not now, what is the *Practice*, but what *Right there is to do so*. If it be said the Conquer'd submit by their own *Consent*: Then this allows *Consent* necessary to give the Conquerour a Title to Rule over them. But then we may Enquire whether Promises Extorted by *Force* without *Right*, can be thought *Consent*, and how far they are

Practice of  
Conquerors or  
otherwise.

are *Obligatory*; And I humbly conceive they *Bind not at all*. He that *forces* my Horse from me, ought presently to *Restore* him, and I have still a *Right* to retake him : So he that has *forced* a Promise from me, ought presently to *Restore* it, that is, quit me of the *Obligation* of it, or I may chuse whether I will perform it or not : For the *Law of Nature* obliges us only by the *Rules* she prescribes, and therefore cannot oblige me by the *Violation* of her Rules ; such is the Extorting any thing from me by *Force*.

From what has been said, I presume it pretty clearly appears that an *Unjust Conquest* gives *no Title* at all ; That a *Just Conquest* gives Power only over the *Lives* and *Liberties* of the *Actual Opposers*, but not over their *Posterity* or *Estates*, otherwise than as before is mentioned ; and not at all over those that did *not Concur* in the Opposition.

They that desire a more full Disquisition of this Matter, may find it at large in an Incomparable *Treatise* concerning the *True Original, Extent*

*Extent and End of Civil Govern-  
ment*, Chap. 16: This Discourse is  
said to be written by my Excellent  
Friend, JOHN LOCKE, Esq; Whe-  
ther it be so or not, I know not;  
This I am sure, whoever is the  
Author, the Greatest Genius in  
*Christendom* need not disown it.

But granting that all we have  
said in this Matter is *Wrong*, and  
granting that a Conquerour, whe-  
ther *Just* or *Unjust*, obtains an *Ab-  
solute Arbitrary Dominion* over the  
Persons, Estates, Lives, Liberties  
and Fortunes of all those whom  
he finds in the Nation, their Wives,  
Posterity, &c. so as to make perpet-  
tual *Slaves* of them and their Ge-  
nerations to come; Let us next  
Enquire whether *Concessions* grant-  
ed by such a Victorious *Hero*, do  
not bound the Exorbitancy of his  
Power, and whether he be not  
Obliged strictly to Observe these  
Grants.

Concessions  
granted by a  
Conquerour,  
whether Oblig-  
atory,

And here I believe no Man of  
Common Sense or Justice, will  
Deny it; None that has ever  
Consider'd the Law of Nature  
and

and Nations, can possibly hesitate on this matter; the very Proposing it, strikes the Sense and Common Notions of all Men so forcibly, that it needs no farther proof. I shall therefore insist no longer on it, but hasten to consider how far this is the Case of *Ireland*: And that brings me naturally to the fourth Particular propos'd, *vizt.* To shew by Precedents, Records, and History, what Concessions and Grants have been made from time to time to the People of *Ireland*, and by what steps the Laws of *England* came to be introduced into this Kingdom.

What Concessions have been made from the Crown of *England* to the Kingdom of *Ireland*.

By *Henry II.*

We are told by *Matth. Paris*, Historiographer to *Hen. III.* that *Henry* the Second, a little before he left *Ireland*, in a Publick Assembly and Council of the *Irish* at *Lismore*, did cause the *Irish* to Receive, and swear to be Govern'd by the Laws of *England*: *Rex Henricus* (saith he) *antequam ex Hibernia Rediret apud Lismore Concilium Congregavit ubi Leges Angliæ sunt ab omnibus gratanter receptæ, & Furatoriâ cautione prestitâ Confirmatæ, Vid. Matth. Paris, ad An. 1172. Vit. H. 2.* And

And not only thus, but if we may give Credit to Sir *Edward Cook*, in the 4th Instit. Cap. 1. and 76. and to the Inscription to the *Irish Modus Tenendi Parliamentum*, it will clearly Appear, that *Henry the Second* did not only settle the the Laws of *England* in *Ireland*, and the Jurisdiction Ecclesiastical there, by the *Voluntary Acceptance* and *Allowance* of the Nobility and Clergy, but did likewise Allow them the Freedom of  *Holding of Parliaments* in *Ireland*, as a separate and distinct Kingdom from *England*; and did then send them a *Modus* to Direct them how to Hold their Parliaments there. The Title of which *Modus* runs thus:

“ *Henricus Rex Angliæ Con-*  
 “ *questor & Dominus Hiber-*  
 “ *niæ, &c. Mittit hanc formam*  
 “ *Archiepiscopis, Episcopis,*  
 “ *Abbatibus, Prioribus, Co-*  
 “ *mitibus, Baronibus, Justi-*  
 “ *ciariis, Vicecomitibus, Ma-*  
 “ *ioribus, Præpositis, Ministris*  
 “ *& omnibus Fidelibus suis*  
 “ *Terræ Hiberniæ Tenendi*  
 “ *Parliamentum.* *In*

*In primis Summonitio Parliamenti præcedere debet per Quadraginta Dies.*

And so forth.

This *Modus* is said to have been sent into *Ireland* by *Hen. II.* for a Direction to Hold their Parliaments there. And the sense of it agrees for the most part with the *Modus Tenendi Parl.* in *England*, said to have been Allowed by *William* the Conquerour; when he obtain'd that Kingdom; where 'tis alter'd, 'tis only to fit it the better for the Kingdom of *Ireland*.

I know very well the Antiquity of this *Modus*, so said to be Transmitted for *Ireland* by *Hen. II.* is question'd by some Learned Antiquaries, particularly by Mr. *Selden* (a) and (b) Mr. *Pryn*, who deny also the English *Modus* as well as this. But on the other hand, my Lord Chief Justice *Cook*, in the 4th Instit. pag. 12. and 349. does strenuously Assert them both. And the late Reverend and Learned Dr. *Dopping* Bishop

(a) *Tit. Hon.*  
*Par. 2. C. 5.*

*Se. 26. Edit.*

*Lond. An. 1672*

(b) Against

*Cook's 4th In-*

*stit. C. 76.*

shop of *Meath*, has Published the *Irish Modus*, with a Vindication of its Antiquity and Authority in the Preface.

There seems to me but two Objections of any Moment raised by Mr. *Pryn* against these *Modi*. The One relates both to the *English* and *Irish Modus*; the other chiefly strikes at the *Irish*. He says the Name *Parliament*, so often found in these *Modi*, was not a name for the great Council of *England* known so early as these *Modi* Pretend to. I confess I am not prepared to Disprove this Antiquary in this Particular: But to me it seems reasonable enough to Imagine that the Name *Parliament*, came in with *William the Conqueror*: 'Tis a Word perfectly French, and I see no reason to doubt it's Coming in with the *Normans*. The other Objection affects our *Irish Modus*, for he tells us, That *Sheriffs* were not establish'd in *Ireland* in *Henry II's* time, when this *Modus* was pretended to be sent hither, yet we find the Word *Vicecomes* therein. To this I can only Answer, That *Hen. II.*  
intend-

intending to Establish in *Ireland* the English form of Government, as the first, and Chief Step thereto, he sent them Directions for Holding of Parliaments, Designing afterwards by degrees and in due time to settle the other Constitutions, agreeable to the Model of *England*. If therefore *England* had then *Sheriffs*, we need not wonder to find them named in the *Irish Modus*, tho they were not as yet establish'd amongst us, for they were designed to be appointed soon after, and before the *Modus* could be put regularly in execution; and accordingly we find them establish'd in some Counties of *Ireland* in *King Johns* Time.

This *Irish Modus* is said to have been in the Custody of Sir *Cbristopher Preston* of *Clane* in *Ireland*, *An. 6. Hen. 4.* and by Sir *John Talbot* Lord Lieutenant of *Ireland*, under King *Hen.4.* It was Exemplified by *Inspeximus* under the great Seal of *Ireland*, and the Exemplification was sometimes in the Hands of Mr. *Hackwel* of *Lincolns Inn*, and by him was Communicated to Mr. *Selden*. The Tenor of which Exemplification runs thus. *Henricus*

*Henricus Dei Gratia Rex Angliæ & Franciæ, & Dominus Hiberniæ, omnibus ad quos presentes: Literæ pervenerint salutem Inspeximus Tenorem Diversorum Articulorum in quodam Rotulo Pergameneo Scriptorum cum Christophoro Preston, Milite Tempore Arrestationis suæ apud Villam de Clare, per Deputatum Dilecti & Fidelis nostri Johannes Talbot de Halomshire Chivaler locum nostrum Tenentis Terræ nostræ Hiberniæ, nuper factæ inventorum ac coram nobis & Concilio nostro in eadem terræ nostra apud Villam de Trim: Nono die Januarii ultimo præteriti in hæc verba,*

“ *Modus Tenendi Parliamenta*

“ *Henricus Rex Angliæ, Con-*

“ *questor & Dominus Hiber-*

“ *nia, Mittit hanc formam Ar-*

“ *chiepiscopis, &c. and so as*

before, “ *Et omnibus Fide-*

“ *bus suis Terræ Hiberniæ*

“ *Tenendi Parliamentum Im-*

“ *primis Summonitio, &c. and*

then follows the *Modus*, agreeable in most things with that of *England*, only fitted to *Ireland*. Then the *Exemplification* concludes :

*Nos autem tenores Articularum prædictorum de Assensu præfati Locum tenentis & Concilii prædicti tenore præsentium duximus Exemplificandum & has Litteras nostras fieri fecimus Patentes. Teste Præfato Locum nostrum tenente apud Trim. 12 diæ Januarii Anno Regni nostri sexto.*

*Per ipsum Locum tenentem  
& Concilium.*

Now we can hardly think it credible, (says the Bishop of *Meath*) that an *Exemplification* could have been made so solemnly of it by King *Henry* the Fourth, and that it should refer to a *Modus* transmitted into *Ireland* by King *Henry* II. and Affirm that it was produced before the Lord Lieutenant and Council at *Trym*, if no such thing had been Done : This were to call in question the Truth of all former Records

cords and Transactions, and make the Exemplification contain an Egregious Falshood in the body of it.

The Reverend Bishop of *Meath*, in his fore-cited Preface does believe that he had obtain'd the very Original Record, said by my Lord *Cook* to have been in the Hands of Sir *Christopher Preston*: It came to that Learned Prelates Hands amongst other Papers and Manuscripts of Sir *William Domvile*, late Attorney General in this Kingdom, who in his Life-time, upon an occasional Discourse with the Bishop concerning It, told him that this Record was bestow'd on him (Sir *W. Domvile*) by Sir *James Cusse*, late Deputy Vice-Treasurer of *Ireland*, that Sir *James* found it among the Papers of Sir *Francis Aungier*, Master of the Rolls in this Kingdom; and the present Earl of *Longford* (Grandson to the said Sir *Francis Aungier*) told the Bishop, that his said Grandfather had it out of the Treasury of *Waterford*.

Whilst I write this, I have this very Record now before me, from the Hands of the said Bishop of *Meath's* Son, my Nephew, *Samuel Dopping*; and I must confess it has a Venerable Antient Appearance, but whether it be the True Original Record, I leave on the Arguments produced for its Credit by the said Bishop.

Parliaments  
very early in  
*Ireland.*

This I am sure of, that whether this be the very Record Transmitted hither by King *Henry* the Second, or not; yet 'tis most certain from the Unanimous Concessions of all the fore-mentioned Antiquaries, *Cook, Selden, Pryn, &c.* That we have had Parliaments in *Ireland* very soon after the Invasion of *Henry II.* For *Pryn* confesses that (a) King *Hen. II.* after his Conquest of *Ireland*, and the General Voluntary Submission, Homages, and Fealties of most of the *Irish* Kings, Prelates, Nobles, Cities and People, to him, as to their Sovereign Lord and King, *Anno 1170*, (it should be 1172.) held therein a General Council of the Clergy  
at

(a) Against  
the 4th Inst.  
c. 76. p. 249.

at *Cashal*, wherein he Rectify'd many Abuses in the Church, and Establish'd sundry Ecclesiastical Laws, agreeable to those in the Church of *England*; *Ecclesiæ illius statum ad Anglicanæ Ecclesiæ formam Redigere Modis omnibus elaborando*; To which the *Irish* Clergy promis'd Conformity, and to observe them for time to come, as

(a) *Giraldus Cambrensis*, who was then in *Ireland*, and other (b) *Historsians*, relate: *Et ut in singulis Observatio similis Regnum Colligaret utrumque* (that is *England* and *Ireland*) *passim omnes unanimi voluntate communi Assensu, Pari desiderio Regis imperio se subjiciunt, omnibus igitur hoc modo Consummatis, in Concilio habito apud Lismore Leges Angliæ ab omnibus sunt gratantur receptæ, & juratoriâ cautione præstitâ Confirmatæ*, says *Math. Paris*.

(a) *Togograph Hibern. l. 3. c. 18*

*Hib. Expug.*

*l. 11. c. 33. 34.*

(b) *Hoveden*

*Annal pars-*

*post. p. 302.*

*Brampton Chr.*

*Col. 1071.*

*Knighon de*

*Even. Angl.*

*l. c. c. 10 col.*

*2394, 2395.*

*Pol. Virg. Hist.*

*Angl. l. 13.*

*Radul. de Di-*

*cecto.*

*Walsingham,*

*&c.*

Can any Concession in the World be more plain and free than this? We have heard of late much Talk in *England* of an *Original Compact* between the *King* and *People of England*; I am sure 'tis not possible to shew a more fair *Original*

*Original Compact for Ireland.*

*nal Compact* between a *King* and *People*, than this between *Henry* the *Second*, and the *People* of *Ireland*, That they should Enjoy the like *Liberties* and *Immunities*, and be Govern'd by the same *Mild Laws*, both *Civil* and *Ecclesiastical*, as the *People* of *England*.

From all which, It is manifest that there were no *Laws* Imposed on the *People* of *Ireland*, by any *Authority* of the *Parliament* of *England*; nor any *Laws* introduced into that *Kingdom* by *Henry* the *Second*, but by the *Consent* and *Allowance* of the *People* of *Ireland*: For both the *Civil* and *Ecclesiastical* State were settled there *Regiæ sublimitatis Autoritate*, solely by the *Kings* *Authority*, and *their own good Wills*, as the *Irish* Statute, 11 *Eliz.* c. 1. expresses it. And not only the *Laws* of *England*, but the manner of *Holding* *Parliaments* in *Ireland* to make *Laws* of their own (which is the *Foundation* and *Bulwark* of the *Peoples* *Liberties* and *Properties*) was Directed and Established there by *Henry* the *Second*, as if he were Resolved that

that no other Person or Persons should be the Founders of the Government of *Ireland*, but himself and the *Consent* of the People, who submitted themselves to him against all Persons whatsoever.

Let us now see by what farther Degrees the Government of *Ireland* grew up Conformable to that of *England*.

About the Twenty-third year of *Henry* the Second, (which was within Five years after his Return from *Ireland*) he created his younger Son *John*, *King of Ireland*, at a Parliament held at *Oxford*. Soon after *King John* being then about Twelve Years of Age, came into *Ireland*, from *Milford* to *Waterford*, as his Father had formerly done. The *Irish* Nobility and Gentry immediately repaired to him; but being Received by him and his Retinue with some Scorn and Derision, by reason of their long rude Beards, *quas more Patrio grandes habebant & prolixas*, (says *Giraldus Cambrensis*, *Hib. Expug. Cap.35.*) they took such Offence

*King John*  
made King of  
*Ireland*.

thereat, that they departed in much Discontent ; which was the occasion of the young Kings staying so short a time in *Ireland*, as he did this his first time of being here.

By this *Ireland* made an Absolute separate Kingdom.

And here, before we proceed any farther, we shall observe, That by this Donation of the *Kingdom of Ireland* to *King John*, *Ireland* was most eminently set apart again, as a *Separate* and *Distinct Kingdom* by it self from the *Kingdom of England*; and did so continue, until the *Kingdom of England* Descended and came unto *King John*, after the Death of his Brother *Richard* the First, King of *England*, which was about Twenty two years after his being made King of *Ireland*; during which space of Twenty two years, both whilst his Father *Henry* the Second, and his Brother *Richard* the First, were living and Reigning, *King John* made divers Grants and Charters to his Subjects of *Ireland*, which are yet in being in this Kingdom ; wherein he stiles himself *Dominus Hiberniæ*, (the constant Stile till *Henry* the Eighth's time)

time) and in others, *Dominus Hiberniæ & Comes Meritonæ*. By which Charters both the City of *Dublin*, and divers other Corporations enjoy many Priviledges and Franchises to this day. But after the said Grant of the Kingdom of *Ireland* to King *John*, neither his Father *Henry II.* nor his Brother King *Richard I.* Kings of *England*, ever stiled themselves, during their Lives, *King* or *Lord* of *Ireland*; for the *Dominion* and *Regality* of *Ireland* was wholly and separately vested in K. *John*, being absolutely Granted unto him without any Reservation. And he being Created King in the Parliament at *Oxford*, under the Stile and Title of *Lord* of *Ireland*, Enjoy'd all manner of *Kingly Jurisdiction*, *Preheminence*, and *Authority Royal*, belonging unto the *Imperial State* and *Majesty of a King*, as are the Express words of the *Irish Statute*, 33 *Hen. VIII. c. 1.* by which Statute the Stile of *Dominus* was changed to that of *Rex Hiberniæ*.

Let us then suppose that *Richard* the First, King *John's* Elder Brother,

Brother, had not died without Issue, but that his Progeny had sat on the Throne of *England*, in a Continued Succession to this Day: Let us suppose likewise the same of King *John's* Progeny, in relation to the Throne of *Ireland*; where then had been the *Subordination* of *Ireland* to the *Parliament*, or even to the *King* of *England*? Certainly no such thing could have been then pretended: Therefore if any such *Subordination* there be, it must arise from something that followed *after* the Descent of *England*, to King *John*; for *by* that *Descent* *England* might as properly be *Subordinate* to *Ireland*, as the converse; *Ireland* being vested in the Royal Person of King *John*, Two and Twenty years before his Accession to the Crown of *England*, and being a more *Ancient Kingdom* than the Kingdom of *England*. As the English Orators in the Council of Constance, *An. 1417*

(a) confess'd and alledged, as an Argument in the Contest between *Henry* the Fifth's Legates, and those of *Charles* the Sixth King of *France*, for *Precedence*: *Satis Constat* (say they)

(a) *Seldens Tit. Hon. Par. I. C. 8 Sect. 5. Usher Archbishop of Armagh, of the Religion of the Antient Irish, Cap. 11.*

they ) (b) *secundum Albertum Magnum & Bartholomeum de Proprietatibus Rerum, quod toto Mundo in tres partes Diviso, scilicet in Europam, Asiam & Africam* ( for America was not then Discovered ) *Europa in quatuor Dividitur Regna scilicet, Primum Romanum, Secundum Constantinopolitanum, Tertium Regnum Hiberniæ ( quod jam translatum est in Anglos ) & Quartum Regnum Hispaniæ. Ex quo patet, quod Rex Angliæ & Regnum suum sunt de Eminentioribus Antiquioribus Regibus & Regnis totius Europæ.* The Antiquity and Precedence of the King of England, was allo'wd him wholly on the Account of his Kingdom of Ireland.

(b) *Act. Concil. Constant. Sess. 28. MS. in Bib. Reg. not in the Printed Acts.*

Perhaps it will be said, That this *Subordination* of the Kingdom of Ireland, to the Kingdom of England, proceeds from Ireland's being Annex'd to, and as it were united with the Imperial Crown of England, by several Acts of Parliament both in England and Ireland, since King *Johns* time. But how farr this Operates, I shall Enquire more fully hereafter ; I shall only at present Observe, that I conceive little more

Ireland in what sense Annex'd to England.

more is Effected by these Statutes Than that *Ireland* shall not be *Alien'd* or *Separated* from the King of *England*, who cannot hereby dispose of it otherwise than in *Legal Succession* along with *England*; and that whoever is *King of England*, is *ipso facto King of Ireland*, and the Subjects of *Ireland* are oblig'd to Obey him as their Liege Lord.

King *John*  
comes a second  
time into *Ire-*  
*land*.  
The People  
submit to him

To proceed therefore. After both Crowns were united, on the Death of *Richard* the First without Issue, in the Royal Person of King *John*: He, about the Twelfth Year of his Reign of *England*, went again into *Ireland*, viz. the Twenty Eight day of *June*, 1210. and *Math. Paris* tells us, pag. 220. *Cum Venisset ad Dublinensem Civitatem Occurrerunt ei ibidem plus quam 20 Reguli illius Regionis qui omnes Timore maximo preterriti homagium ei & Fidelitatem fecerunt. Fecit quoque Rex ibidem, Construere Leges & Consuetudines Anglicanas, ponens Vicecomites aliosque Ministros, qui populum Regni illius juxta Leges Anglicanas Judicarent.*

His Son King *Henry the Third* Concessio. i  
from Hen. III.  
 came to the Crown the Nineteenth  
 of *October* 1216. and in *Novem-*  
*ber* following he Granted to *Ireland*  
 a *Magna Charta*, Dated at *Bristol*  
 12 *November*, the First Year of his  
 Reign. 'Tis Prefaced, *that for the*  
*Honour of God, and Advancement of*  
*Holy Church, by the Advice of his*  
*Council of England*, (whose names  
 are particularly recited) He makes  
 the following *Grant to Ireland*; And  
 then goes on Exactly Agreeable to  
 the *Magna Charta* which he granted  
 to *England*; only in ours we have  
*Civitas Dublin, & Avenliffee*, instead  
 of *Civitas London, and Thamesis* with  
 other Alterations of the like kind  
 where Needful. But ours is Eight  
 years older than that which he grant-  
 ed to *England*, it not being till the  
 Ninth Year of his Reign, and ours  
 is the First Year. This *Magna Char-*  
*ta of Ireland* Concludes thus, *Quia*  
*vero sigillum nondum Habuimus pre-*  
*sentem Cartam Sigillis Venerabilis*  
*Patris nostri Domini Gualt. Apost.*  
*Sedis Legati & Willelmi Mareschalli*  
*Comitis Pembroke Rectoris nostri &*  
*Regni nostri fecimus Sigillari. Testi-*  
*bus omnibus prænominatis & alijs*  
*Multis Dat per Manus Prædictorum*  
*Dominꝝ*

*Domini Legati & Willelmi Marefcal-  
li. Apud Bristol Duodecimo die No-  
vembr. Regni noſtri Anno Primo.*  
An Antient Coppy of this *Magna  
Charta of Ireland* is to be found in  
the *Red Book* of the *Exchequer  
Dublin.*

(a) *Pryn* againſt  
the 4th Inſt..  
c. 76. p. 250.

In *February* following in the Firſt  
Year likewise of his Reign, by Ad-  
vice of all his Faithful Counſellors  
in *England*, to gratify the *Irish* (ſays  
(a) *Pryn*) for their eminent Loyalty  
to his Father and Him, he grant-  
ed them out of his *Special Grace*,  
that they and their Heirs for ever  
ſhould enjoy the *Liberties* granted  
by his Father and Himſelf to the  
Realm of *England*; which he Re-  
duced into Writing, and ſent Seal'd  
thither under the Seal of the Popes  
Legat, and W. Earl Marſhal his  
Governour, becauſe he had then no  
Seal of his own. This as I con-  
ceive Refers to the foremention'd  
*Magna Charta Hiberniæ*. The Re-  
cord as Recited by Mr. *Pryn*, here  
follows.

Rex Archiepiscopis, Epif- *Pa. 1 H.III.*  
 copis, Abbatibus, Comiti- *m. 13. intus.*  
 bus, Baronibus, Militibus  
 & Libere Tenentibus, &  
 omnibus Fidelibus suis per  
 Hiberniam Constitutis, Sa-  
 lutem: Fidelitatem vestram  
 in Domino Commendantes  
 quam Domino Patri nostro  
 semper Exhibuistis & nobis  
 estis diebus nostris Exhibi-  
 turi: Volumus quod in  
 signum Fidelitatis vestræ,  
 tam præclaræ, tam Insignis  
 Libertatibus Regno nostro  
 Angliæ a Patre nostro & no-  
 bis Concessis, de gratia no-  
 stra & Dono in Regno no-  
 stro Hiberniæ gaudeatis vos  
 & vestri Hæredes in perpe-  
 tuum. Quas Distincte  
 in Scriptum Reductas de  
 Communi Consilio omni-  
 um Fidelium nostrorum vo-  
 bis Mittimus Signatas Si-  
 gillis Domini nostri G. Apo-  
 stolicæ Sedis Legati & Fi-  
 delis nostri Com. W. Ma-  
 resc. Rectoris nostri & Reg-  
 ni nostri quia Sigillum non  
 dum

“ dum habuimus; easdem  
 “ processu temporis de Majori  
 “ Consilio proprio Sigillo Sig-  
 “ naturi.

Teste apud Glouc. 6 Februar.

Here we have a free Grant of all the *Liberties of England* to the *People of Ireland*. But we know the *Liberties of Englishmen* are Founded on that *Universal Law of Nature*, that ought to prevail throughout the whole World, of *being Govern'd only by such Laws to which they give their own Consent by their Representatives in Parliament.*

Record out of  
 Mr. Petyt of  
 the Antiquity  
 of Parliaments  
 in Ireland.

And here, before I proceed farther, I shall take Notice, That in the late Raised Controversie, *Whether the House of Commons were an Essential part of Parliament*, before the 49th year of *Henry the Third*; The Learned Mr. *Petyt*, Keeper of the Records in the *Tower*, in his Book on that Subject, pag. 71. Deduces his 9th Argument *From the Comparison of the Antient Generale Concilium, or Parliament of Ireland,*

land, instanced *Ann. 38 Hen. III.* with the Parliament in England, wherein the Citizens and Burgesses were ; which was Eleven years before the pretended beginning of the Commons in England.

For thus we find it in that Author.

“ As great a Right and Privilege  
 “ surely was and ought to be al-  
 “ low'd to the *English* Subjects, as  
 “ to the *Irish*, before the 49th of  
 “ *Hen. III.* And if that be admit-  
 “ ted, and that their (the *Irish*)  
 “ *Commune Concilium*, or Parliament,  
 “ had its Platform from ours (the  
 “ *English*) as I think will not be  
 “ Deny'd by any that have confi-  
 “ der'd the History and Records  
 “ touching that Land (*Ireland*) we  
 “ shall find the ensuing Records,  
 “ *Ann. 38 Hen. III.* clearly evince  
 “ that the Citizens and Burgesses  
 “ were then a part of their (the  
 “ *Irish*) Great Council or Parlia-  
 “ ment.

“ That King being in *partibus* Ret. 38 H. III,  
 “ *Transmarinis*, and the Queen being in 4. Hibernia  
 “ left Regent, she sends Writs (or a

Letter) in the Kings Name, directed  
*Archiepiscopis, Episcopis, Abbatibus,*  
*Prioribus, Comitibus, Baronibus,*  
*Militibus, Liberis Homi- nibus,*  
*Civibus & Burgensibus, Terræ suæ Hiberniæ ;* telling them that,  
*Mittimus Fratrem Nicholaum de Sancto Neoto,*  
*Fratrem Hospitii Sancti Johannis Jerusalem in Anglia ad partes Hiberniæ*  
*ad exponendum vobis* (together with J. Fitz-Geoffery the Kings Justice) the State of his Land of Vascony, endanger'd by the Hostile Invasion of the King of Castile, *qui nullo Jure sed potentia sua Confissus Terram nostram Vasconia per ipsius Fortitudinem,*  
*a manibus nostris Auferre & a Dominio Regni Angliæ segregare proponit.* And therefore *universitatem Vestram Quanta possumus Affectione Rogantes* quatenus nos & jura nostra totaliter indefensa non deserentes nobis in tanto periculo quantumcunque poteritis de Gente & Pecunia subveniatis ; which would turn to their Everlasting Honour ; concluding, *His nostris Augustiis taliter Compattientes, quod nos & Heredes nostri*

stri

“ *stri vobis & Hæredibus vestris fu-*  
 “ *mus non immerito Obligati. Te-*  
 “ *ste Regina, & R. Comite Cornubiæ,*  
 “ *apud Windesor, 17 die Februar.*

*Per Reginam.*

Thus far Mr. Petyt.

Here we have a Letter from the Queen Regent to the *Parliament* in *Ireland*, in an humble manner beseeching them for an Aid of *Men* and *Money* against the King of *Castiles* Hostile Invasion of *Gascony*; from whence we may perceive that in those days, no more than at present, *Men* and *Money* could not be Rais'd but by *Consent of Parliament*. I have been the more particular in Transcribing this Passage out of Mr. Petyt, to shew that we have as Antient and Express an Authority for our present Constitution of *Parliaments* in *Ireland*, as can be shewn in *England*. And I believe it will not be thought Adviseable in these latter Days, to break in upon *Old Settled Constitutions*: No

one knows how fatal the Consequents of that may be.

Farther Con-  
cessions from  
*Hen. III.*

To return therefore where we Digress'd. *Henry* the Third, about the Twelfth year of his Reign, did specially Impower *Richard de Burgh*, then *Justice of Ireland*, at a certain day and place, to summon all the Archbishops, Bishops, Abbots, Priors, Earls, Barons, Knights, Freeholders and Sheriffs of each County, and before them to cause to be Read the Charter of his Father King *John*, whereunto his Seal was Appendant, whereby he had granted unto them the *Laws and Customs of England*, and unto which they swore Obedience: And that he should cause the same Laws to be observed and Proclaimed in the several Counties of *Ireland*, that so none presume to do contrary to the Kings Command. The Record I have taken out of Mr. (a) *Pryn*, in these words:

(b) Against  
*Cook's* 4th In-  
stit. p. 252.

*Claus. 12 H. III*  
*in 8 de Legibus*  
◊ *Consuetu-*  
*dmibus Obser-*  
*vandis in Hi-*  
*bern.*

“ Rex Dilecto & Fideli suo  
“ Richardo de Burgo Justice  
“ suo Hibern. Salutem. Man-  
“ damus vobis firmiter præ-  
“ cipientes

“ cipientes quatenus certodie  
 “ & Loco faciatis venire co-  
 “ ram vobis Archiepiscopos  
 “ Episcopos Abbates Priores  
 “ Comites & Barones Milites  
 “ & libere Tenentes & Balli-  
 “ vos singulorum Comitatum  
 “ & coram eis Publice legi  
 “ faciatis Chartam Domini J.  
 “ Regis Patris nostri cui Si-  
 “ gillum suum appensum est  
 “ quam fieri fecit & jurari a  
 “ Magnatibus Hibern. de Le-  
 “ gibus & Consuetudinis An-  
 “ gliæ Observandis in Hiber-  
 “ nia. Et præcipiatis eis ex  
 “ parte nostra quod Leges il-  
 “ las & Consuetudines in  
 “ Charta prædicta contentas  
 “ de cætero firmiter teneant &  
 “ observent & hoc idem per  
 “ singulos Comitatus Hi-  
 “ berniæ clamari faciatis &  
 “ teneri prohibentes firmiter  
 “ ex parte nostra & super foris  
 “ facturam nostram nequis  
 “ contra hoc Mandatum no-  
 “ strum venire præsumat, &c.  
 “ *Teste Me ipso Apud Westm' 8*  
 “ *die Maii An. Reg. nostri 12.*

By what foregoes, I presume it plainly appears, that by three several Establishments under the three first Kings of *Ireland* of the *Norman Race*, the *Laws and Liberties of the People of England*, were granted to the *People of Ireland*. And that neither of these three Kings Established those *Laws in Ireland* by any *Power of the Parliament of England*, but by the free *Consent, Allowance and Acceptance* of the *People of Ireland*.

Recapitulation.

*Hen. II.* first introduced the *Laws of England* into *Ireland*, in a *Publick Assembly of the Irish at Lismore*, and Allowed them the *Freedom of Parliaments* to be held in *Ireland*, as they were held in *England*.

*King John* at the *Request*, and by the *Consent* of the *Irish*, did appoint the *Laws of England* to be of *Force in Ireland*; and tho' he did not this till the *Twelfth year* of his *Reign of England*, yet he did it not as *King of England*, but as *Lord of Ireland*: For the *Crown of England* came to him by *Descent*  
from

from his Brother *Richard*, who had no Regal Power in *Ireland*; and what his Brother had not, could not descend to him.

*Henry* the Third in the first year of his Reign gave *Ireland* a *Magna Charta*; and in the Twelfth year of his Reign did provide, That all the Laws of *England* should be observ'd in *Ireland*; and that the Charter granted to the *Irish* by his Father King *John* under his Seal, when he was in that Kingdom, should be kept inviolably.

And from the Days of these Three Kings, have *England* and *Ireland* been both Govern'd by the like Forms of Government under one and the same Supreme Head, the King of *England*; yet so, as both Kingdoms remain'd Separate and Distinct in their several Jurisdictions under that One Head, as are the Kingdoms of *England* and *Scotland* at this day, without any Subordination of the One to the Other.

It were endless to mention all

Records and Precedents that might be quoted for the Establishment of the Laws of *England* in *Ireland*; I

(a) *Fourth Instit.*

(b) *Against the 4th Instit.*

(c) *Placita Parliamentaria.*

shall therefore enter no farther into that Matter, but therein refer to Lord Chief Justice *Cook*, (a) *Pryn*, (b) *Reyly*, (c) &c.

English Laws Established in *Ireland*.

Law of Parliament.

If now we Enquire, *What were those Laws of England that became thus Established in Ireland?* Surely we must first reckon the Great *Law of Parliaments*, which *England* so justly Challenges, and all *Mankind* have a *Right* to. By the *Law of Parliament*, I mean that Law whereby all Laws receive their Sanction, *The Free Debates and Consent of the People, by Themselves, or their Chosen Representatives.* That this was a main Branch of the English Law Established in this Kingdom, and the very Foundation of our Future Legislature, appears manifest from Parliaments being so early convok'd in *Ireland*, as the fore-mention'd Precedents express.

Mr. *Pryn* acknowledges one in *Hen. II's* time, (*pag. 259.* against the *4th Instit.*) but makes a very false  
Conclu-

Conclusion, that there appears no Footsteps of a Parliament afterwards, till the third year of *Edward* the Second, because the Acts of that Parliament are the first that are Printed in our Irish Statute-Book : For so we may argue the Parliaments of *England* to be of later Date than pretended, when we find the first Printed Acts in *Keeble* to be no older than the 9th of *Hen. III.* Whereas 'tis most certain, that Parliaments have been held in *England* some Ages before that.

After this *Great Law of Parliaments*, we may reckon the *Common Law of England*, whether it relates to Regulating and Setling of *Property*, and Estates in Goods or Land, or to the *Judiciary* and *Executive* parts of the Law, and the Ministers and Proceſs thereof, or to *Criminal* Cases. These surely were all Establish'd in this Country, by the three first Kings of *Ireland* of the *Norman Race*.

Let us now consider the state of the *Statute Laws* of *England* under these

these three Kings, and their Predecessors: For by the Irish Voluntary Submission to, and Acceptance of the Laws and Government of *England*, we must repute them to have submitted themselves to these likewise; till a Regular Legislature was Establish'd amongst them, in pursuance of that Submission and Voluntary Acceptance.

Statute-Law  
of *England*  
from the Nor-  
man Conquest  
to *Hen. III.*

And here we shall find, that in those Times, *viz.* from the *Norman* Conquest to *Henry* the Third's time inclusive, the Statute-Laws of *England* were very few and slender. 'Tis true, that before the 12th of *Hen. III.* we find amongst the English Historians frequent mention of the Laws of *Edward the Confessor*, *William the Conquerour*, *Hen. I.* *Hen. II.* *King John*, and *Hen. III.* All which are only *Charters*, or several Grants of *Liberties* from the King; which nevertheless had the force of Acts of Parliament, and laid as great Obligations both upon Prince and People, as Acts of Parliament do at this day: Whereof we may read several Proofs in the *Princes Case*, *Cook's 8th Report.* But these were only

only so many *Confirmations* of each other, and all of them *Sanctions* of the *Common Laws* and *Liberties* of the People of *England*, *ab Antiquo Usitatae* & *comprobatae per totam terram* & *in quibus ipsi* & *eorum Patres nati* & *nutriti sunt*, as the words of the Manuscript *Chronicle of Litchfield* express it.

The Laws of *Edward the Confessor*, held in so great Veneration in Antient Times, & *per universum Regnum corroboratae* & *confirmatae*, *prius inventae* & *Constitutae fuerunt Tempore Regis Edgari Avi sui*. *Verum tamen post mortem ipsius Regis Edgari, usque ad Coronationem Sancti Regis Edwardi* ( which was 67 years ) *praedictae Leges sopitae sunt* & *penitus intermissae*. *Sed postquam Rex Edwardus in Regno sublimatus fuit Consilio Baronum Angliae Legem illam sopitam Excitavit, Excitam Reparavit, Reparatam Decoravit, Decoratam Confirmavit*; & *confirmatae vocantur Lex Sancti Regis Edwardi, non quod ipse primus eam ad invenisset*; sed quod *Reparavit, Restituitque*, (a) as the said *Litchfield Chronicle* has it. These Laws

Law of Edward the Confessor.

(a) Selden Notes & Specileg. ad eadmerum, of pag. 171

of *Edward the Confessor* were transcribed by *Ingulphus Abbot of Croyland* under *William the Conqueror*, and are annexed to his History.

Of *Wil. Conq.* The Laws of *William the Conqueror* are but a Confirmation of the Laws of *Edward the Confessor*, with some small alterations, as the very Letter of those Laws themselves express it. (b) *Hoc quoque præcipimus ut omnes habeant & teneant Leges Edwardi Regis in omnibus Rebus adauctis his quas constituimus ad Utilitatem Anglorum.*

(b) *Leges W. I. Cap. 63. apud Selden in notis ad eadmerum p. 192.*

Of *Hen. I.* The Laws of *Henry I.* which are in the *Red Book of the Exchequer*, in the custody of the Kings Remembrancer in *England*, are but a summary confirmation both of the Laws of *Edward the Confessor* and *William the First*, as the Charter it self expresses it, (c) *Lagam Regis Edwardi vobis Reddo cum illis emendationibus quibus Pater meus emendavit Consilio Baronum suorum.*

(c) *Vid. Selden ut supra.*

Of *Hen II.* The Laws of *Henry II.* called *Constitutiones Clarendoniæ*, and the *Affize of Clarendon* in the 2d part of  
Cooks

*Cooks Inst.* p. 6. are all but confirmations and vindications of the King's just Prerogative against the Usurpations of the Pope and Clergy: As we find at large in *Chron. Gervassii. Doroborn* p. 1387. Edit. Lond. an. 1652.

The Laws of *King John*, called of K. John. The *Great Charter of King John*, granted in the 17th Year of his Reign, upon the Agreement made between him and his Barons at *Running-Mead* between *Staines* and *Windsor*, was but a Confirmation of the Laws of *Edward the Confessor* and *Henry the First*, as (d) *Mat. Paris* relates it. (d) Mat. Paris ad an. 1217. pag. 253. &c. *Anno Regis Johannis 17. venientes ad Regem magnates petierunt quasdam Libertates & Leges Regis Edwardi cum aliis libertatibus sibi & Regno Angliæ & Ecclesiæ Anglicanæ concessis confirmari prout in Charta Regis Hen I. ascriptæ continentur.* The same Historian gives us also at large both *Charta Libertatum*, and *Charta de Foresta*, which are not extant in the Rolls of those times, nor to be found in any till the 28th of *Edward I.* and that but by *inspeximus.*

The

Of Hen. III.

The Laws of *Henry III.* contain'd in *Magna Charta* and *Charta de Forreſta*, both which are called *Magnæ Chartæ Libertatis Angliæ*, and were eſta bliſh'd about the 9th Year of *Henry III.* are for the moſt part but *declaratory* of the common municipal Laws of *England*, and that too no *new* declaration thereof; for King *John* in the 17th year of his Reign had granted the like before, which was alſo call'd *Magna Charta*.

(a) *Cook's Pref.*  
to the 2d *Inſt.*

(a) And by the English Statute 25 *Ed. I. c. 1.* it is Enacted, That the *Great Charter*, and the *Charter of the Forreſt* be taken as the *Common Law of England*.

By what foregoes, I conceive, it is very clear, That all the *Charters* and *Grants of Liberties* from *Edward the Confessor's* time down to the 9th of *Henry the Third* were but *Confirmations* one of another, and all of them *Declarations*, and *Confirmations* of the *Common Law of England*. And by the ſeveral Eſta bliſhments, which we have formerly mention'd, of the Laws of *England* to be of force in *Ireland*:  
 Firſt

First, in the 13<sup>th</sup> of *Henry II.* Secondly in the 12<sup>th</sup> of *King John.* Thirdly, in the 12<sup>th</sup> of *Henry III.* All those Laws and Customs of *England*, which by those several Charters were *Declared* and *Confirmed* to be the Laws of *England*, were establish'd to be of force in *Ireland.* And thus *Ireland* came to be govern'd by one and the same *Common Law* with *England*; and those Laws continue as part of the municipal and fundamental Laws of both Kingdoms to this day.

It now remains that we enquire, How the *Statute Laws* and *Acts of Parliament* made in *England* since the 9<sup>th</sup> of *Henry the Third* came to be of force in *Ireland*; And whether all or any of them, and which, are in force here, and when and how they came to be so.

Engl. Statutes  
since the 9<sup>th</sup>.  
Hen. III. introduced in Ire-  
land.

And the first Precedent that occurs in our Books, of Acts of Parliament in *Ireland* particularly mentioning and confirming special Acts of Parliament in *England*, is found in a Marginal Note of *Sir Richard Bolton's* formerly Lord Chief Baron  
of

Statutes of  
Merton.  
Marlebr.  
Westm.  
Glouceſt.

Vid. Lib. Rubr.  
Scaccar. Dubl.

of the *Exchequer* in *Ireland*, affixed in his Edition of the *Irish Statutes* to Stat. 10 *Hen.* 7. Cap. 22. to this purport, That in 13 *Edw.* II. by Parliament in this Realm of *Ireland* the Statutes of *Merton*, made the 20th of *Hen.* II. and the Statutes of *Marlebridge*, made the 52 of *Henry* the Third; The Statute of *Westminster* the First, made the 3d of *Edward* the First; The Statute of *Gloucester*, made the 6th of *Edward* the First; And the Statute of *Westminster* the Second, made the 13th of *Edward* the First, were all confirm'd in this Kingdom, and all other Statutes which were of force in *England*, were referr'd to be Examind in the next Parliament; and so many as were then Allow'd and Publish'd, to stand likewise for Laws in this Kingdom. And in the 10th of *Henry* the Fourth, it was Enacted in this Kingdom of *Ireland*, That the Statutes made in *England* should not be of force in this Kingdom, unless they were Allow'd and Publish'd in this Kingdom by Parliament. And the like Statute was made again in the 29th of *Henry* the Sixth. These Statutes are not to be found in the  
Rolls,

Rolls, nor any Parliament Roll of that time; but he (Sir Richard Bolton) had seen the same Exemplify'd under the Great Seal, and the Exemplification remaineth in the Treasury of the City of Waterford.

Thus far the Note. If we consider the frequent Troubles and Distractions in *Ireland*, we shall not wonder that these, and many other Rolls and Records, have been lost in this Kingdom: For from the third year of *Edward* the Second, which was *Anno* 1310. through the whole Reigns of *Edward* III. *Richard* II. *Henry* IV. and *Henry* V. and so to the Seventh year of *Henry* the Sixth, *Anno* 1428. which is about 118 years, there are not any *Parliament Rolls* to be found, (a) yet certain it is, that divers Parliaments were held in *Ireland* in those times. (b) The same may be said from *Henry* the Second's coming into *Ireland*, *Anno* 1172. to the third year of *Edward* the Second, *Anno* 1310. about 138 years.

(a) *Annals of Ireland*, at the End of *Camden's Britan.* Edit. 1637.

page 196, 197, &c.

(b) *Ibid.* p. 160. *Pryn* against the 4th Instit. Chap. 76.

Perhaps it may be said, That if there were such Statutes of *Ireland* as the said Acts of the 10th of

*Henry* the Fourth, and the 29th of *Henry* the Sixth; As they shew, that the Parliaments of *Ireland* did think that English Acts of Parliament could not bind *Ireland*; yet they shew likewise, that even in those days the Parliaments of *England* did claim this Superiority; or else, to what purpose were the said Acts made, unless in denial of that Claim?

All which I hope may be readily granted without any prejudice to the Right of the Irish Parliaments: There is nothing so common, as to have one Man claim another Mans Right: And if bare *Pretence* will give a *Title*, no Man is secure: And it will be yet worse, if when another so *Pretends*, and I insist on *my Right*, my Just Claim shall be turn'd to my Prejudice, and to the Disparagement of my *Title*.

We know very well that many of the Judges of our Four Courts have been from time to time sent us out of *England*; and some of them may easily be supposed to  
come

come over hither *Prepossess'd* with an Opinion of our Parliaments being subordinate to that of *England*. Or at least, some of them may be *Scrupulous*, and desirous of full *Security* in this Point; and on their Account, and for their Satisfaction, such Acts as aforesaid, may be devised, and Enacted in *Ireland*. But then, God forbid, that these Acts should afterwards be laid hold of to a clear other intent than what they were framed for; and instead of Declaring and Securing our Rights, should give an Handle of Contest, by shewing that our Rights have been question'd of Antient Time.

In conclusion of all, If this *Superiority* of the Parliament of *England* have been *Doubted* a great while ago, so it has been as great a while ago *Strenuously Opposed*, and *Absolutely Denied* by the Parliaments of *Ireland*. And by the way, I shall take Notice, That from whencesoever this Antient *Pretence* of *Ireland's Subordination* proceeded in those days, it did not arise from the *Parliament* of *Eng-*  
*land*

*land* it self: For we have not one single Instance of an English Act of Parliament *Expresly Claiming* this Right of Binding us: But we have several Instances of Irish Acts of Parliament, *Expresly Denying* this *Subordination*, as appears by what foregoes.

Afterwards by a Statute made in *Ireland* the 18th of *Hen. VI. Cap. 1.* All the Statutes made in *England* against the *Extortions* and *Oppressions* of *Purveyors*, are Enacted to be *holden and kept in all Points*, and put in *Execution in this Land of Ireland.*

And in the 32d year of *Henry the Sixth, Cap. 1.* by a Parliament in *Ireland*, 'tis Enacted, *That all the Statutes made against Provisors to the Court of Rome, as well in England as in Ireland, be had and kept in force.*

After this, in a Parliament at *Drogheda* the 8th of *Edward IV. cap. 1.* it was Ratify'd, That the *English* Statute against *Rape*, made the 6th of *Richard the Second*, should

should be of Force in *Ireland* from the 6th day of *March* last past : *And that from henceforth the said Act, and all other Statutes and Acts made by Authority of Parliament within the Realm of England, be Ratify'd and Confirm'd, and Adjudg'd by the Authority of this Parliament in their Force and Strength, from the said sixth day of March.* We shall hereafter have occasion of taking farther Notice of this Statute upon another Account.

Lastly, In a Parliament held at *Drogheda* the 10th of *Henry* the Seventh, *cap. 22.* it is Enacted, *That all Statutes late (that is, as the Learned in the Laws expound it, before that time) made in England, concerning the Common and Publique Weal of the same, from henceforth be Deem'd effectual in Law, and be Accepted, Used and Executed within this Land of Ireland in all Points, &c.*

All English Statutes before the 10th of Hen. VII. in force in Ireland. (a) Cook's 4th Instit. Cap. 76. P. 351.

(b) And in the 14th year of the same Kings Reign, in a Parliament held at *Tristle-Dermot*, it was Enacted, *That all Acts of Parliament*

(b) Vid. Irish Stat.

made in *England* for Punishing *Customers, Controulers, and Searchers*, for their Misdemeanors ; or for Punishment of *Merchants* or *Factors*, be of Force here in *Ireland*, *Provided* they be first Proclaim'd at *Dublin, Drogheda*, and other Market-Towns.

Thus we see by what Steps and Degrees all the Statutes which were made in *England* from the time of *Magna Charta*, to the 10th of *Henry* the Seventh, which did concern the Common Publick Weal, were Receiv'd, Confirm'd, Allow'd, and Authoriz'd to be of Force in *Ireland*; all which was done by Assent of the *Lords Spiritual* and *Temporal*, and the *Commons* in the *Parliament of Ireland* Assembled, and *no Otherwise*.

English Statutes Declaratory of the Common Law in force in *Ireland*.

We shall next Enquire, Whether there are not other Acts of the English Parliament, both *before* and *since* the 10th of *Henry* the Seventh, which *were* and *are* of Force in *Ireland*, tho' not Allow'd of by Parliament in this Kingdom. And we shall find, That by the Opinion

on of our best Lawyers, *there are divers such*; but then they are only such as are *Declaratory* of the *Antient Common Law* of *England*, and not *introductive* of any *New Law*; For these become of Force by the first *General Establishment* of the *Common Laws* of *England* in this Kingdom, under *Henry the Second*, *King John*, and *Henry the Third*; and need no particular Act of *Ireland* for their Sanction.

As to those English Statutes since the 10th of *Henry the Seventh*, that are *Introductive* of a *New Law*, it was never made a Question whether they should Bind *Ireland*, without being Allow'd in Parliament here; till of very late years this Doubt began to be moved; and how it has been Carried on and Promoted, shall Appear more fully hereafter.

English Acts  
introductive  
of a New Law,  
not of force  
in Ireland.

I say, *Till of very late years*; for the *Antient* Precedents which we have to the contrary, are very numerous. Amongst many, we shall mention the following Particulars.

In the 21<sup>th</sup> of *Henry* the 8<sup>th</sup> an Act was made in *England* making it Felony in a Servant that runneth away with his Masters or Mistresses Goods. This Act was not receiv'd in *Ireland* till it was Enacted by a Parliament held here in the 33<sup>d</sup> of *Henry* the 8<sup>th</sup>. c. 5. Sef. 1.

In the 21<sup>th</sup> of *Henry* VIII. c. 19, there was a Law made in *England*; That all Lords might Distrain on the Lands of them holden, and make their Avowry not naming the Tenant, but the Land. But this was not of force in *Ireland* till Enacted here in the 33<sup>d</sup> of *Henry* VIII. C. 1. Sef. 1.

An Act was made in *England*, anno 31. *Henry* VIII. That Joint-Tenents and Tenents in Common should be compelled to make Partition, as Coparceners were compellable at Common Law. But this Act was not Receiv'd in *Ireland* till Enacted here An. 33. *Henry* VIII. c. 10.

*Anno 27. Henry VIII. c. 10.* The Statute for Transferring Uses into Possession was made in *England*; but not admitted in *Ireland* till 10. *Car. 1. Sef. 2.*

In like manner, the English Statute 33. *Henry VIII. c. 1.* directing how Lands and Tenements may be dispos'd by Will, &c. was not of force in *Ireland* till 10. *Car. 2. Sef. 2.*

The Act of Uniformity of Common Prayer and Administration of the Sacraments was made in *England* the 1st. of *Eliz. c. 2.* but was not establish'd in *Ireland* till the 2d. of *Eliz. c. 2.* And so that of *England* 14. *Car. 2. c. 14.* was not receiv'd in *Ireland* till 17. & 18. *Car. 2 c. 6.*

The Statute against Wilful Perjury made in *England* 5. *Eliz. c. 9.* was not Enacted in *Ireland* till 28 *Eliz. c. 1.*

So the English Act against Witchcraft and Sorcery made 5 *Eliz. c. 16.*  
And

And another Act against Forgery 5 *Eliz.* c. 14. were neither of them in force in *Ireland* till the 28th of Her Reign, Cap. 3 and 4.

The English Statutes against Pirates was made the 28th of *Hen.* 8. c. 15. but not in *Ireland* till the 12th of King *James*, c. 2.

In *England* an Act was made the 27th of *Eliz.* c. 4 against Fraudulent Conveyances; but it was not in force in *Ireland* till Enacted here the 10th of *Charles*, c. 3. Sec. 2.

In the 15th year of King *Charles* the 1st. in a Parliament held at *Dublin* there were Six English Statutes made Laws of this Kingdom, with such Alterations as best fitted them to the State thereof, viz.

21 *Jac.* c. 14. For pleading the General Issue in Intrusions brought by the King, by Chap. 1. of the Irish Statutes.

31 *Eliz.* c. 2. For Abridging of Proclamations on Fines, by Chap.

2.

2 and

2 and 3 *Edw.* 6. c. 8. Concerning Offices before the Escheator, by Chap. 4.

31 *Eliz.* c. 1. Discontinuance of Writs of Error in the Exchequer Chamber, by Chap. 5.

8 *Eliz.* c. 4. and 18 *Eliz.* c. 7. concerning Clergy, by Chap. 7.

24 *Hen.* 8. c. 5. Concerning Killing a Robber, by Chap. 9.

There are Six English Statutes likewise passed in the time of King *Charles* the 2d. upon and soon after the Restoration, some of which were not passed into Laws in *Ireland* till a year, two or three, afterwards: As will appear by consulting the Statute Books. (a)

(a) Irish Stat.  
13 C. 2. c. 2.  
13 C. 2. c. 3.  
14 & 15 C. 2.  
c. 1.  
14 & 15 C. 2.  
c. 19.  
17 & 18 C. 2.  
c. 3.  
17. & 18 C. 2.  
c. 11.

And in the First year of *William* and *Mary*, *Ses.* 2. c. 9. an Act passed in *England* declaring all *Attainders* and other Acts made in the late pretended Parliament under King *James* at *Dublin* void: But was not Enacted here in *Ireland* till the 7th year of *K. William* c. 3. And this was thought requisite to be done upon mature consideration thereon before the

English Stat.  
12 C. 2. c. 12.  
12 C. 2. c. 3.  
12 C. 2. c. 14.  
12 C. 2. c. 24.  
12 C. 2. c. 33.  
16 & 17 C. 2.  
c. 5.

\* For we had two several Acts transmitted to us at different times, to this very purpose. One we rejected in the Lord *Sydneys* Government, t'other we pass'd under the Lord *Capell*. the King and Council of *England*,\* notwithstanding that the English Act does particularly name *Ireland*, and was wholly design'd for, and relates thereto.

The like may we find in several other Statutes of *England* passed since his present Majesties Accession to the Throne, which have afterwards been passed here in *Ireland*, with such Alterations as make them practicable and agreeable to this Kingdom. Such as are amongst others, the Act for *Disarming Papists*. The Act of *Recognition*. The Act for taking away *Clergie* from some Offenders. The Act for taking *Special Bail* in the Country, &c. The Act against *Clandestine Mortgages*. The Act against *Cursing and Swearing*,

These, with many more, are to be found in our Statute Books in the several Reigns of *Henry* the 8th. *Edward* the 6th. *Queen Elizabeth*, King *James*, King *Charles* the 1st and 2d. and King *William*. But it is not to be found in any Records

Records in *Ireland*, that ever any Act of Parliament introductive of a new Law made in *England* since the time of King *John*, was by the judgment of any Court received for Law, or put in Execution in the Realm of *Ireland* before the same was Confirmed and Assented to by Parliament in *Ireland*.

And thus I presume we have pretty clearly made out our *Fourth Enquiry* forementioned; and shewn plainly *the several steps by which the English form of Government, and the English Statute Laws were received in this Kingdom*; and that this was wholly by the *Peoples consent in Parliament*, to which we have had a very *antient Right*, and as full a Right as our next Neighbours can pretend to or challenge.

I shall now consider the *Objections and Difficulties* that are moved on this Head drawn from *Precedents, and Passages in our Law-Books* that may seem to prove the contrary.

Objections  
Answer'd,

First

Objection  
from the Stat.  
of Rape.

First 'tis urg'd, That in the Irish *Act concerning Rape* passed anno 8 *Edward* 4, c. 1. 'tis expressed, That a Doubt was conceiv'd whether the English Statute of the 6th of *Richard* the 2d. c. 6. ought to be of force in *Ireland* without a Confirmation thereof in the Parliament of *Ireland*. Which shews (as some alledg) that even in those days it was held by some, That an Act of Parliament in *England* might bind *Ireland* before it be consented to in Parliament here.

But I concieve this Gloss is rais'd meerly for want of Expressing the Reason of the said Doubt in the Irish Statute of the 8th of *Edward* the 4th. c. 1. which we may reasonably judge was this. By the Statute of *Westminster* the 2d. c. 34. a Woman that eloped from her Husband and lived with the Adulterer, or a Wife that being first Ravish'd did afterwards consent, and lived with the Ravisher, she should loose her Dower. This Statute of *Westminster* the 2d, was made of force in *Ireland* by an Act passed here  
the

the 13th of *Edward* the 2d, as we have seen before, pag. 68, 69. Afterwards by the English Statute of the 6th of *Rich.* the 2d. c.6. there was a farther addition made to the said Statute of *Westminster* the 2d. to this effect, That a Maiden or Wife being Ravished, and afterwards consenting to the Ravishers, as well the Ravisher as she that was Ravished shall be disabled to claim all Inheritance or Dower after the death of her Husband or Ancestor.

On this account the Doubt was here raised in *Ireland* in the 8th of *Edward* the 4th. c. 1. Whether this latter English Statute of the 6th of *Richard* the 2d. c. 6. were not in force in *Ireland* by virtue of the Irish Statute of the 13th of *Edward* the 2d. which confirmed the Statute of *Westminster* the 2d. c. 34. And for settling this Doubt the said Statute of the 8th of *Edward* the 4th c. 1. was passed in *Ireland*, and we find very good reason for the said Doubt. For the English Statute of the 6th of *Richard* the 2d. c. 6. contained but a small addition  
to

to the Statute of *Westminſher* the 2d c. 34. and we ſee that even this addition it ſelf was judged not to be of force in *Ireland* till Enacted here. For the ſaid *Irish* Statute of the 8th of *Edward* the 4th. c. 1. makes the ſaid Statute of the 6th of *Rich.* 2d. c. 6. of Force in *Ireland* only from the 6th of *March*, then laſt paſt.

'Tis urg'd ſecondly, That tho' perhaps ſuch Acts of Parliament in *England* which do not Name *Ireland*, ſhall not be conſtrued to Bind *Ireland*, yet all ſuch *English* Statutes as mention *Ireland*, either by the *General Words* of all his *Majeſty's* *Dominions*, or by particularly Naming of *Ireland*, are and ſhall be of Force in this Kingdom.

This being a Doctrine firſt broach'd Directly (as I conceive) by *Will. Huſſey*, Lord Chief Juſtice of the Kings Bench in *England*, in the firſt year of *Henry* the Seventh, and of late Revived by the Lord Chief Juſtice *Cook*, and ſtrongly urged, and much rely'd upon in theſe latter Days; I ſhall take the Liberty of Enlarging thereon, tho'

I venture thereby to swell this Pamphlet to a size greater than I desire or design'd.

First therefore, As to such English Statutes as seem to comprehend *Ireland*, and to Bind it, under the *General Words of all his Majesty's Dominions or Subjects*, whatever has been the Opinion of Private and Particular Lawyers in this Point; I am sure the Opinions of the Kings of *England*, and their Privy Council, have been otherwise: 'Tis well known since *Poyning's Act* in *Ireland*, the 10th of *Henry the Seventh*, no Act can pass in our Parliament here, till it be first Assented to by the King and Privy Council of *England*, and Transmitted hither under the Broad Seal of *England*: Now the King and his Privy Council there, have been so far from surmising that an Act of Parliament of *England*, mentioning only in General *All the Kings Dominions, or Subjects*, should Bind *Ireland*, that they have clearly shewn the contrary, by frequently Transmitting to *Ireland*, to be pass'd into Laws here, English Sta-

Object.  
English Statutes comprehending *Ireland* by general Words.

tutes, wherein the *General Words* of all the Kings Dominions or Subjects were contain'd; which would have been to no purpose, but meerly *Actum Agere*, had Ireland been Bound before by those English Statutes.

Of this I shall give the following Examples, amongst many others.

Act against Appeals to Rome.

The Act of Parliament in England against Appeals to Rome, 24 Hen. 8. c. 12. by exprefs words extends to all his Majesties Dominions, yet the same was not in force, nor receiv'd in Ireland, till it was Enacted by Parliament there, the 28th of Hen. 8. c. 6.

Acts of First Fruits and Faculties.

(a) Title in the English Statutes is, *No Imposition shall be paid to the Bishop of Rome.*

In like manner the Statutes made in England concerning *First Fruits*, 26 Hen. 8. c. 3. and the Act of *Faculties*, (a) 25 Hen. 8. c. 21. though each of them by exprefs words comprize *All his Majesties Subjects and Dominions*, were not receiv'd as Laws in Ireland, till the former was Enacted there, 28 H. 8. c. 4. and the latter the 28 Hen. 8. c. 19. and so the

the Statute Restoring to the Crown all Jurisdiction Ecclesiastical made in *England*, Anno 1 *Eliz.* c. 1. and therein giving Power to Erect an Ecclesiastical *High-Commission-Court* in *England* and *Ireland*, yet was not of Force in *Ireland* till Enacted there, Anno 2 *Eliz.* c. 1. And tho' the said English Act, in relation to Erecting such an *High-Commission-Court*, was Repeal'd 17 *Car.* 1. c. 11. and the Repeal confirm'd the 13 *Car.* 2. c. 12 And the late Bill of *High-Commission-Court* Rights, 1 *W. and M. Ses.* 2. c. 2. in *England*, has damn'd all such Courts. Yet the Act in *Ireland* 2 *Eliz.* c. 1. remains still in force here; and so it was lately declar'd here by the Lord High - Chancellour *Porter*, Lord Chief Justice *Reynel*, Lord Chief Baron *Hely*, Mr. Justice *Cox*, Mr. Justice *Jeffreyson*, in the Case of Dr. *Thomas Hacket*, late Bishop of *Down*, who was depriv'd of the said Bishoprick by such a Commission, for great Enormities; the Commissioners being Dr. *Dopping* late Bishop of *Meath*, Dr. *King*, the present Bishop of *London-Derry*, and Dr. *Wiseman*, late Bishop of *Dromore*.

By the same  
Reason *Scot-*  
*land* may be  
bound,

And truly I see no more Reason for Binding *Ireland* by the English Laws under the *General Words* of *all his Majesties Dominions or Subjects*, than there is for Binding *Scotland* by the same; for *Scotland* is as much his *Dominion*, and *Scotsmen* as much his *Subjects* as *Ireland* and *Irish-men*: If it be said, That *Scotland* is an Antient *Separate and Distinct Kingdom* from *England*; I say, So is *Ireland*: The Difference is, *Scotland* continued *separate* from the Kings of *England* till of *late years*, and *Ireland* continued *separate* from *England* but a very *little while* in the Person of King *John*, before the Death of his Father, and of his Brother *Richard* the First, without issue. But then 'tis to be considered, that there was a *Possibility*, or even a *Probability*, that *Ireland* might have continued *separate* from the Crown of *England*, even to this very day, if *Richard* the First had left behind him a *Numerous Progeny*.

Second-

Secondly, As to such English Statutes as particularly Name Ireland, and are therefore said to be of Force in this Kingdom, tho' never Enacted here ; I shall consider only the more *Antient Precedents* that are offered in Confirmation of this Doctrine : For as to those of *later Date*, 'tis these we complain of, as bearing hard on the *Liber-ties* of this Country, and the *Rights* of our Parliaments, and therefore *these* ought not to be produced as Arguments against us. I presume, if I can shew, that the *Antient Precedents* that are produced, *do not conclude* against us ; it will follow that the *Modern Instances* given, *ought not to conclude* against us ; that is to say plainly, These *ought not to have been made* as they are, as wanting Foundation both from Authority and Reason.

The *Antient Precedents* of English Statutes, particularly *Naming Ireland*, and said to be made in *England* with a Design of *Binding Ireland*, are chiefly these three :

1. *Statutum Hiberniæ*, 14 H. 3.

2. *Ordinatio pro Statu Hiberniæ*,  
17 Edw. I.

3. And the Act that all *Staple* Commodities passing out of *England* or *Ireland*, shall be carried to *Callis*, as long as the *Staple* is at *Callis*, 2 Hen. 6. c. 4. on which *Huffsey* delivered his Opinion, as we shall see more fully hereafter.

These Statutes, especially the two first, being made for *Ireland*, as their Titles import, have given occasion to think, that the Parliament of *England* have a *Right* to make Laws for *Ireland*, without the *Consent* of their Chosen *Representatives*. But if we Enquire farther into this matter, we shall find this Conclusion not fairly Deduced.

First, The *Statutum Hiberniæ*, 14 Hen. 3. as 'tis to be found in the Collection of English Statutes, is plainly thus: The Judges in *Ireland* concei-

conceiving a Doubt concerning Inheritances devolved to Sisters or Coheirs, *viz.* Whether the younger Sisters ought to hold of the Eldest Sister, and do Homage unto her for their Portions, or of the Chief Lord, and do Homage unto him; therefore *Girald Fitz Maurice*, the then Lord Justice of *Ireland*, dispatcht four Knights to the King in *England*, to bring a Certificate from thence of the *Practice* there used, and what was the *Common-Law* of *England* in that *Case*. Whereupon *Hen. 3.* in this his Certificate or Rescript, which is called *Statutum Hiberniæ*, meerly informs the Justice what the *Law* and *Custom* was in *England*, *viz.* That the Sisters ought to hold of the Chief Lord, and not of the Eldest Sister. And the close of it commands, that *the foresaid Customs that be used within our Kealm of England in this Case, be Proclaimed throughout our Dominion of Ireland, and be there observ'd. Teste meipso apud Westminst.* 9. Feb. An. Reg. 14.

From whence 'tis manifest, that this *Statutum Hiberniæ* was no

more than a Certificate of what the *common Law of England* was in that Case, which *Ireland* by the *Original Compact* was to be govern'd by. And shews no more, that therefore the Parliament of *England* may bind *Ireland*, than it would have proved, that the Common Wealth of *Rome* was subject to *Greece*, if, after *Rome* had received the Law of the *Twelve Tables*, they had sent to *Greece* to know what the Law was, in some Special Case.

Or dinatio pro  
Statu Hiber-  
nie.

The Statute call'd *Ordinatio pro Statu Hiberniæ*, made at *Nottingham* the 17th of *Edward* the First, and to be found in *Pultons* Collection pag. 76. Edit. Lond. 1670. was certainly never Received, or of Force, in *Ireland*. This is Manifest from the very first Article of that Ordinance, which Prohibits the *Justice of Ireland* or others the Kings Officers, there to Purchase Land in that Kingdom, or within their respective *Balliwicks* without the Kings Licence, on pain of Forfeitures. But that this has ever been Otherwise, and that the Lords Justices, and other Officers

cers here have Purchas'd Lands in *Ireland*, at their own Will and Pleasure, needs no Proof to those who have the least knowledge of this Country. Nor does it appear by any Inquisition, Office, or other Record, that any one ever Forfeited on that Account.

Moreover this *Ordinatio pro Statu Hiberniæ*, is really in it self *No Act of Parliament*, but meerly an Ordinance of the *King* and his *Privy Council* in *England*; which appears as well from the Preamble to the said Ordinance, as from this Observation likewise, That *King Edward* the First held no Parliament in the 17th year of his Reign: Or if this were a Parliament, this *Ordinatio pro Statu Hiberniæ*, is the only *Act* thereof that is Extant: But 'tis very improbable, that only this single Ordinance should Appear, if any such Parliament were call'd together.

Thirdly, As to the *Staple-Act*, Staple-Act.]  
 2 *Hen.6. c.4.* which expressly names *Ireland*, and *Hussey's* Opinion thereon. The Case, as we find it in the  
 Year-

Merchants of  
Waterford's  
Case.

Year-Books of *Mich. 2 Rich. 3. fol. 111.* and *Mich. 1 Hen. 7. fol. 3.* is in short thus: The Merchants of *Waterford* having Ship'd off some Wooll, and consign'd it to *Sluice* in *Flanders*, the Ship by stress of Weather was put in at *Callis*, where *Sir Thomas Thwaits*, Treasurer of *Callis*, seized the said Wooll as forfeited, half to himself, and half to the King, by the said Statute; hereupon a Suit was commenced between the said Merchants and the said Treasurer, which was brought before all the Judges of *England* into the *Exchequer* Chamber: The Merchants pleaded the King's *License* to the Citizens of *Waterford* and their Successors, for carrying Wooll where they pleased; and the Questions before the Judges were two, *Viz. Whether this Staple-Act Binds Ireland; And Secondly, Whether the King could grant his License contrary to the Statute, and especially where the Statute gives half the Forfeiture to the Discoverer.*

The first Point only relates to our present purpose; and herein we find the foresaid Year-Book of 2  
*Rich.*

*Rich.3. fol.12. to Report it thus: Et ibi (in the Exchequer Chamber) quoad Primam Questionem Dicebant quod Terr. Hibern. inter se habent Parliament. & omnimodo Cur. prout in Angl. & per Idem Parliamentum faciunt Leges & Mutant Leges & non Obligantur per Statuta in Anglia, quia non hic habent Milites Parliamenti (and is not that an unanswerable Reason?) sed hoc intelligitur de terris & rebus in terris illis tantum efficiendo; sed Personæ eorum sunt Subject. Regis & tanquam Subjecti erunt Obligati ad aliquam rem extra Terram illam faciend. contra Statut. sicut habitantes in Calestia, Gascoignie, Guien, &c. dum fuere Subjecti; & Obedientes erunt sub Admiral. Angl. de re fact. super Altum Mare; & similit. Brev. de Errone de Judicio reddit. in Hibern. in Banco Reg. hic in Angl.*

I have *verbatim* transcribed this Passage out of the foresaid Year-Book, that I might be sure to omit nothing that may give the Objection its full weight. And all that I can answer to it, is this :

1. That when the forefaid Case came a fecond time under the Confideration of the Judges in the Exchequer Chamber in *Mich. 1 Hen. 7. fol. 3.* we find it Reported thus: *Huffey the Chief Juftice faid, That the Statutes made in England fhall bind thofe of Ireland, which was not much gain-faid by the other Judges, notwithstanding that fome of them were of a contrary Opinion the laft Term in his Abfence.* How the Prefence and Opinion of the Chief Juftice came to influence them now, I leave the Reader to judge.

2. That *Brook* in Abridging this Cafe of the firft of *Hen. 7. fol. 3.* Title *Parliament*, Sec. 90. adds, *Tamen Nota, That Ireland is a Kingdom by it felf, and hath Parliaments of its own; intimating thereby, That therefore Huffey's Opinion herein was Unreasonable.*

3. That 'tis manifef, if *Huffey* mean by his words, That *All Acts of Parliament in England fhall bind Ireland*, it is directly contrary to the

the Judges Opinion in the second of *Richard* the Third, before recited; for *within* the Land of *Ireland*, they are all positive, That the Authority of the Parliament of *England* will not Affect us. They seem at the utmost reach to extend the Jurisdiction of the English Parliament over the Subjects of *Ireland*, *only* in relation to their Actions beyond Seas, out of the Realm of *Ireland*, as they are the *King of England's Subjects*; but *even This* will Appear Unreasonable, when we consider, that by the same Argumentation, *Scotland* it self may be bound by English Laws, in relation to their Foreign Trade, as they are the *King of Englands Subjects*. The Question is, Whether *England* and *Ireland* be two Distinct Kingdoms, and whether they have each their Respective Parliaments; neither of which will be deny'd by any Man: And if so, there can be no Subordination on either side, each is compleat in its own Jurisdiction, and ought not to interfere with t'other in any thing. If *being the King of England's Subjects*, be a Reason why

we

We ought to submit to Laws, (in relation to our Trade abroad, in places where the Parliament of *England* has no Jurisdiction) which have not receiv'd our Assent; the People of *England* will consider whether they also are not the King's Subjects, and may therefore (by this way of Reasoning) be bound by Laws which the King may Assign them without their Assent, in relation to their Actions *Abroad*, or Foreign Trade: Or whether they had not been Subjects to the *King of France*, had our Kings continu'd their Possession of that Country, and there kept the Seat of the Monarchy; and then, had *France* been stronger than *England*, it might seem that the Subjects of these Kingdoms might have been bound by Laws made at *Paris*, without their own Consent. But let this Doctrine never be mention'd amongst the *Free-born* Subjects of these Nations.

Thus I have done with the *Three Principal* Instances that are usually brought against us, on the Streis that is laid on English Acts of Parlia-

Parliament, particularly *Naming Ireland.*

There have been other Statutes <sup>Members from</sup> or Ordinances made in *England* for <sup>Ireland in the</sup> *Ireland*, which may reasonably be <sup>Parliament of</sup> of force here, because they were <sup>England.</sup> made and Assented to by our own Representatives. Thus we find in the *White Book* of the Exchequer in *Dublin*, in the 9th year of *Edward* the First, a Writ sent to his Chancellour of *Ireland*, wherein he mentions *Quædam Statuta per nos de Assensu Prelatorum Comitum Baronum & Communitates Regni nostri Hiberniæ, nuper apud Lincoln & quædam alia Statuta postmodum apud Eborum facta.* These we may suppose were either Statutes made at the Request of the States of *Ireland*, to *Explain* to them the *Common Law of England*; or if they were introductive of *New Laws*, yet they might well be of force in *Ireland*, being Enacted by the Assent of our own Representatives, The Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons of *Ireland*; as the Words afore-mention'd do shew: And indeed, these are Instances so far  
from

from making *against* our Claim, that I think nothing can be more plainly *for* us ; for it manifestly shews, that the King and Parliament of *England* would not Enact Laws to Bind *Ireland*, without the Concurrence of the Representatives of this Kingdom.

Formerly, When *Ireland* was but thinly Peopled, and the English Laws not fully currant in all parts of the Kingdom, 'tis probable that then they could not frequently Assemble with conveniency or safety to make Laws in their own Parliaments at home ; and therefore during the Heats of Rebellions, or Confusion of the Times, they were forced to Enact Laws in *England*. But then this was always by their proper *Representatives* : For we find that in the Reign of *Edward* the Third, (and by what foregoes, 'tis plain 'twas so in *Edward* the First's Time) Knights of the Shire, Citizens, and Burgessees, were Elected in the Shires, Cities and Burroughs of *Ireland*, to serve in Parliament in *England*, and have so served accordingly. For amongst  
the

the Records of the *Tower of London*, *Rot. Claus. 50. Edw. 3. Parl. 2. Membr. 23.* We find a Writ from the King at *Westminster*, directed to *James Butler*, Lord Justice of *Ireland*, and to *R. Archbishop of Dublin*, his Chancellour, requiring them to issue Writs under the Great Seal of *Ireland*, to the several Counties, Cities and Burroughs, for satisfying the Expences of the Men of that Land, *who last came over to serve in Parliament in England.* And in another Roll the 50th of *Edw. III. Membr. 19.* On Complaint to the King by *John Draper*, who was Chosen Burgefs of *Cork* by Writ, and served in the Parliament of *England*, and yet was deny'd his Expences by some of the Citizens, Care was taken to reimburse him.

If from these last mention'd Records, it be concluded that the Parliament of *England* may Bind *Ireland*; it must also be Allow'd that the People of *Ireland* ought to have their Representatives in the Parliament of *England.* And this, I believe we should be willing enough

to embrace ; but this is an Happiness we can hardly hope for.

This sending of Representatives out of *Ireland* to the Parliament in *England*, on some occasions, was found in process of time to be very Troublesome and Inconvenient ; and this, we may presume, was the Reason, that afterwards, when Times were more settled, we fell again into our old Track, and regular course of Parliaments in our own Country ; and hereupon the Laws afore-noted, pag. 64. were Enacted, Establishing that *no Law made in the Parliament of England, should be of force in Ireland, till it was Allow'd and Publish'd in Parliament here.*

Modern Acts  
of the Parlia-  
ment of Eng-  
land, naming  
*Ireland.*

I have said before, pag. 85. that I would only consider the *more Ancient Precedents* that are offered to prove, That Acts of *England* particularly Naming *Ireland*, should bind us in this Kingdom ; and indeed it were sufficient to stop here, for the Reason above alledged. However, I shall venture to come down lower, and to enquire into the *Modern* Prece-

Precedents of English Acts of Parliament alledged against us: But still with this Observation, That 'tis these we *Complain against* as Innovations, and therefore they ought not to be brought in Argument against us.

I do therefore again assert, that before the Year 1641. there was no Statute made in *England* introductory of a *New Law* that interfered with the Right which the People of *Ireland* have to make Laws for themselves, except only those which we have before mentioned, and which we have discuss'd at large, and submit to the Readers Judgment.

But in the Year 1641. and afterwards in *Cromwel's* time, and since that, in *King Charles II.* and again very lately in *King William's* Reign, some Laws have been made in *England* to be of Force in *Ireland*. But how this came to pass, we shall now Enquire.

In the 17th Year of *K. Charles I.* Acts in favour  
which was in the Year 1642. there of Adventu-  
rers in 1641.  
were

were three or four Acts of Parliament made in *England* for encouraging *Adventurers* to raise Money for the speedy suppression of the Horrid Rebellion which broke out in *Ireland* the 23<sup>d</sup> of *October* 1641. The Titles of these Acts we have in *Pulton's Collection of Statutes*: But with this Remark, *That they are made of no Force by the Acts of Settlement and Explanation* passed in King *Charles II's* time in the Kingdom of *Ireland*. So that in these we are so far from finding Precedents for *England's* Parliament binding *Ireland*, that they plainly shew, that the Parliament of *Ireland* may Repeal an Act passed in *England*, in relation to the Affairs of *Ireland*. For 'tis very well known, that Persons who were to have Interests and Titles in *Ireland* by virtue of those Acts passed in *England*, are cut off by the *Acts of Settlement and Explanation*. And indeed there is all the Reason in the World that it should be so, and that Acts made in a Kingdom by the Legal Representatives of the People, should take place of those made in another Kingdom. But however, it will be said,

said, that by those Acts 'tis manifest that *England* did presume they had such a *Right* to pass Acts binding *Ireland*, or else they had ne'er done it. To which I answer, That considering the condition *Ireland* was in at that time, *viz.* under an horrid *Intestine Rebellion*, flaming in every corner of the Kingdom; 'twas impossible to have a Parliament of our own; yet it was absolutely necessary that something should be done towards suppressing the Violences then raging amongst us: And the only means could then be practised, was for the Parliament of *England* to interpose, and do something for our Relief and Safety; these were the best Assurances could be had at that juncture: But when the Storm was over, and the Kingdom quieted, we see new Measures were taken in a Legal Parliament of our own.

As to what was done for *Ireland* in the Parliament of *England* in *Cromwel's* time, besides the Confusion and Irregularity of all Proceeding in those days, which hinders any of them to be brought in-

Acts in *Cromwel's* time.



to Precedent in these times; We shall find also that then there were *Representatives* sent out of this Kingdom, who sat in the Parliament of *England*, which then was only the *House of Commons*. We cannot therefore argue from hence, that *England* may bind us; for we see they allow'd us *Representatives*, without which, they rightly concluded, they could not make *Laws Obligatory* to us.

I come now to King *Charles* the 2<sup>d</sup>s time. And in it we shall find the following *English Statutes* made, in which the Kingdom of *Ireland* is concerned.

Cattle Act.

The first is an *Act against Importing Cattle from Ireland or other Parts beyond Seas*. It was only temporary by 18 *Ch. 2. c. 2.* but made perpetual 20 *Ch. 2. c. 7.* and 32 *Ch. 2. c. 2.* This *Act*, however prejudicial to the *Trade* that was then carried on between *Ireland* and *England*, does not properly Bind us, more than it does any other Country of the World. When any thing is Imported, and Landed in  
*England,*

*England*, it becomes immediately subject to the Laws thereof, so that herein we cannot be said *properly* to be bound.

Secondly, The Acts against Planting *Tobacco* in *England* and *Ireland*, 12 Ch. 2. c. 34. and 15 Ch. 2. c. 7. and 22 and 23 Ch. 2. c. 26, &c. do positively Bind *Ireland*. But there has never been an Occasion of Executing it here; for I have not heard that a Rood of *Tobacco* was ever Planted in this Kingdom. But however that takes not off the Obligation of the Law: 'Tis only want of our Consent, that I urge against that. I see no more Reason for sending a Force to Trample down an Acre of *Tobacco* in *Ireland* by these Statutes, than there would be for Cutting down the Woods of *Shelala*, were there an Act made in *England* against our Planting or Having *Timber*.

Thirdly, The Act for Encouraging Shipping and Navigation, by express name Mentions and Binds *Ireland*; and by the last Clause in the Act, Obliges all Ships belonging thereto

importing any Goods from our Foreign Plantations, to touch first at England.

Note, Exporting Wooll from Ireland, is made penal by the Irish Stat. 12 Hen. 8. c. 2. 28 Hen. 8. c. 17. But both these Statutes are obsolete: The like may we observe of the 11 Eliz. c. 10. & 13 El. c. 4.

Fourthly, The Acts Prohibiting the Exportation of Wooll from Ireland, to any Country except to England, do likewise *strongly Bind us*, and by the 12 Car. 2. c. 32. it was made highly penal on us, and by the 14th of Car. 2. c. 18. 'tis made Felony.

To these three last Acts, I must confess, I have nothing to urge, to take off their Efficacy; *Name us* they do most certainly, and *Bind us* so, as we do not transgress them. But how *Rightfully* they do this, is the matter in Question. This I am sure of, that before these Acts in King Charles the Second's Time, (the Eldest of which is not over Thirty-Seven years) *there is not one positive full Precedent to be met with in all the Statute-Book, of an English Act Binding the Kingdom of Ireland.* And on this Account we may venture to assert, That these are at least *Innovations* on us, as not being warranted by any former Precedents: And

And shall *Proceedings only* of *Thirty-Seven Years standing*, be urg'd against a Nation, to Deprive them of the *Rights* and *Liberties* which they Enjoy'd for Five Hundred Years before, and which were In- vaded without and against their *Consent*, and from that day to this have been constantly complain'd of? Let any English Heart that stands so *Justly* in Vindication of his own *Rights* and *Liberties*, an- swer this Question, and I have done.

I am now arriv'd at our Present English Acts Binding Ire- land since King William's Reign. Days, under the Happy Govern- ment of His Majesty King *WILLIAM* the Third; and I am sorry to reflect, That since the late Re- volution in these Kingdoms, when the Subjects of *England* have more strenuously than ever Asserted their own *Rights*, and the *Liberty* of Parliaments, it has pleas'd them to bear harder on their Poor Neigh- bours, than has ever yet been done in many Ages foregoing. I am sure what was then done by that Wise and Just Body of Sena- tors,

tors, was perfectly out of Good Will and Kindness to us, under those Miseries which our Afflicted Country of *Ireland* then suffered. But I fear some Men have since that, made use of what was then done, to other Purposes than at first intended. Let us now see what that was, and consider the Circumstances under which it was done.

In the year 1689. when most of the Protestant Nobility, Gentry, and Clergy of *Ireland*, were driven out of that Kingdom by the Insolencies and Barbarities of the *Irish Papists*, who were then in Arms throughout the Kingdom, and in all Places of Authority under King *James*, newly Return'd to them out of *France*; the only Refuge we had to fly to was in *England*, where Multitudes continued for many Months, destitute of all manner of Relief, but such as the Charity of *England* afforded, which indeed was very *Manifest*, and never to be forgotten.

The

The Protestant Clergy of Ireland being thus Banish'd from their Benefices, many of them Accepted such small Ecclesiastical Promotions in England, as the Benevolence of well dispos'd Persons presented them with. But this being directly contrary to a Statute in this Kingdom, in the 17 and 18 of Charles the Second, Cap. 10. Intituled, *An Act for Disabling of Spiritual Persons from holding Benefices or other Ecclesiastical Dignities in England or Wales, and in Ireland at the same time.* The Protestant Irish Clergy thought they could not be too secure in avoiding the Penalty of the last mention'd Act, and therefore Apply'd themselves to the Parliament of England, and obtain'd an Act in the first year of King William and Queen Mary, c. 29. Intituled, *An Act for the Relief of the Protestant Irish Clergy.* And this was the first Attempt that was made for Binding Ireland by an Act in England, since his Majesty's Happy Accession to the Throne of these Kingdoms. After

Act against  
Commerce  
with France.

Afterwards in the same year, and same Session, Chap. 34. there pass'd an Act in *England*, Prohibiting all Trade and Commerce with *France*, both from *England* and *Ireland*. This also binds *Ireland*, but was during the Heat of the War in that Kingdom, when 'twas impossible to have a regular Parliament therein, all being in the hands of the Irish Papists. Neither do we complain of it, as hindring us from corresponding with the King's Enemies, for 'tis the Duty of all Good Subjects to abstain from that. But as *Scotland*, tho' the King's Subjects, Claims an Exemption from all Laws but what they Assent to in Parliament; so we think this our Right also.

When the Banish'd Laity of *Ireland* observ'd the Clergy thus careful to secure their Properties, and provide for the worst as well as they could in that Juncture, when no other means could be taken by a Regular Parliament in *Ireland*; they thought it likewise adviseable for them to do something in relation to their Concerns. And accordingly

cordingly they obtain'd the *Act for* Act for Security of the Protestants of Ireland,  
*the better Security and Relief, of*  
*their Majesties Protestant Subjects of*  
*Ireland, 1 W. and M. Sef. 2. c. 9.*

Wherein King *James's Irish Parliament at Dublin*, and all *Acts and Attainders* done by them, are declared *void*. 'Tis likewise thereby Enacted, that no Protestant shall suffer any Prejudice in his Estate or Office, by reason of his absence out of *Ireland*, since *December 25. 1685.* and that there should be a Remittal of the Kings Quit-Rent, from *25 December 1688.* to the end of the War. Thus the Laity thought themselves secure.

And we cannot wonder that during the Heat of a Bloody War in this Kingdom, when it was impossible to Secure our Estates and Properties by a Regular Parliament of our own; we should have recourse to this Means, as the only which then could be had. We concluded with our selves, that when we had obtained these Acts from the Parliament in *England*, we had gon a great way in securing the like Acts to be passed in a regular Parliament in *Ireland*, whenever it should

should please God to re-establish  
 us in our own Country: For we  
 well knew our own Constitution  
 under *Poynings Law*, That no Act  
 could Pass in the Parliament of  
*Ireland* till approved of by the King  
 and Privy Council of *England*.  
 And we knew likewise, That all  
 the Lords and others of his Maje-  
 sties Privy Council in *England* are  
 Members of the Lords or Com-  
 mons House of Parliament there.  
 And that by obtaining their Assent  
 to Acts of Parliament in Favour of  
 the Irish Protestants, they had in a  
 manner pre-engaged their Assent to  
 the like Bills when they should  
 hereafter come before them as Pri-  
 vey Councillors, in order to be re-  
 gularly Transmitted to the Parlia-  
 ment of *Ireland*, there to be passed  
 into Laws of that Kingdom. But  
 instead of all this, to meet with  
 another Construction of what was  
 done herein, and to have it pleaded  
 against us as a Precedent of our  
 Submission, and absolute Acquies-  
 cence in the Jurisdiction of the  
 Parliaments of *England* over this  
 Kingdom, is what we complain of  
 as an Invasion (we humbly con-  
 ceive)

ceive) of that *Legislative Right* which our Parliament of Ireland, claims within this Kingdom.

The next Act pass'd in the Parli-<sup>Act appoint-</sup>ament of *England*, Binding *Ireland*,<sup>ing New Oaths</sup> is that for *Abrogating the Oath of Supremacy in Ireland, and Appointing other Oaths*, 3 and 4 *William and Mary*, c.2. To this the Parliament convened at *Dublin*, Anno 1692. under Lord *Sydney*, and that likewise Anno 1695. under Lord *Capel*, paid an intire Obedience. And by this ('tis alledged) we have given up our Right, if any we had, and have for ever acknowledged our *Subordination to the Parliament of England*. But let us a little consider the force of this Argument.

I readily grant, that this and the other fore-mentioned Acts in *England* since the Revolution, when they were made, were look'd upon highly in our Favour, and for our Benefit; and to them as *such*, we have conform'd our Selves. But then, in all Justice and Equity, our Submission herein is to be deem'd *purely voluntary*, and not at all proceeding

ceeding from the *Right* we conclude  
 thereby in the Legislators. If a  
 Man, who has *no Jurisdiction* over  
 me, *command* me to do a thing that  
 is *pleasing* to me, and I do it; it  
 will not thence follow, that there-  
 by he obtains an *Authority* over me,  
 and that ever hereafter I must O-  
 bey him of *Duty*. If I *voluntarily*  
 give my Money to a Man when I  
*please*, and think it *convenient* for  
 me; this does not Authorize him  
 at any time to *command* my Money  
 from me when *he pleases*. If it be  
 said, this allows Subjects to Obey  
 only whilst 'tis *convenient* for  
 them. I pray it may be consider-  
 ed, whether any Men Obey *longer*,  
 unless they be *forced* to it; and  
 whether they will not *free* them-  
 selves from this *Force* as soon as  
 they can. 'Tis impossible to hin-  
 der Men from desiring to *free* them-  
 selves from *Uneasiness*, 'tis a Prin-  
 ciple of Nature, and cannot be e-  
 radicated. If Submitting to an In-  
 convenience be a *less* Evil than en-  
 deavouring to Throw it off, Men  
 will Submit. But if the Inconve-  
 nience grow upon them, and be  
*greater* than the hazard of getting  
 rid

rid of it, Men will Offer at putting it by, let the Statesman or Divine say what they can.

But I shall yet go a little further, and venture to Assert, That the Right of being subject *Only* to such Laws to which Men give their *own Consent*, is so inherent to all Mankind, and founded on such Immutable Laws of Nature and Reason, that 'tis not to be *Alien'd*, or *Given up*, by any Body of Men whatsoever: For the End of all Government and Laws being the Publick Good of the Commonwealth, in the Peace, Tranquility and Estate of every Member therein; whatsoever Act is contrary to this End, is in it self void, and of no effect: And therefore for a Company of Men to say, *Let us Unite our selves into a Society, and let us be absolutely Govern'd by such Laws, as such a Legislator, without ever Consulting us, shall devise for us*; 'tis always to be understood, *Provided we find them for our Benefit*: For to say, We will be Govern'd by those Laws, *whether they be Good or Hurtful to us*, is absurd in it self: For to what End

do Men joyn in Society, but to avoid Hurt, and the Inconveniences of the State of Nature.

Moreover, I desire it may be considered, whether the General Application of the Chief part of the Irish Protestants, that were at that time in *London*, to the Parliament at *Westminster*, for obtaining these Laws, may not be taken for their Consent, and on that Account, and no other, these Acts may acquire their *Binding Force*. I know very well, this cannot be look'd upon as a Regular and Formal Consent, such as might be requisite at another more favourable Juncture: But yet it may be taken *talis qualis*, as far as their Circumstances at that time would allow, till a more convenient Opportunity might present it self.

I am sure, if some such Considerations as these, may not plead for us, we are of all his Majesties Subjects the most Unfortunate: The *Rights* and *Liberties* of the Parliament of *England* have received the greatest Corroborations since

since his Majesties Accession to the Throne ; and so have the *Rights* of *Scotland* ; but the *Rights* of the People of *Ireland*, on the other hand, have received the greatest Weakening under his Reign, by our Submission (as 'tis alledg'd) to these Laws that have been made for us.

This certainly was not the Design of his Majesty's Glorious Expedition into these Kingdoms ; That, we are told by Himself, (whom we cannot possibly mistrust) was to Assert the Rights and Liberties of these Nations ; and we do humbly presume that his Majesty will be graciously pleased to permit us to Enjoy the Benefits thereof.

And thus I have done with the *Fourth Article* proposed. As to the *Fifth*, viz. *The Opinions of the Learned in the Laws relating to this Matter* ; 'tis in a great measure dispatch'd by what I have offer'd on the *Fourth Head* ; I shall therefore be the more brief thereon. And I think indeed the only Person of Note that remains to be considered

The Opinions  
of the Law-  
yers thereon.

Lord Chief  
Justice Cook's  
Opinion Dis-  
cuss'd.

by us, is the Lord Chief Justice Cook, a Name of great Veneration with the Gentlemen of the Long Robe, and therefore to be treated with all Respect and Deference.

In his Seventh Report in *Calvin's* Case, he is proving that *Ireland* is a Dominion Separate and Divided from *England*; for this he quotes

(a) 20 H. 6. 8.  
*Pilkington's*  
Case.  
32 H. 6. 25.  
20 Eliz. Dyer.  
360.  
*Flowd. Com.*  
360.

many Authorities (a) out of the Year-Books and Reports; and amongst others, he has that which I have before mention'd, pag. 91. 2 R. 3. f. 12. which he Transcribes in this manner, *Hibernia habet Parliamentum, & faciunt Leges, & nostra Statuta non ligant eos, quia non mittunt Milites ad Parliamentum*; and then adds, in a Parenthesis, (*which is to be understood, unless they be specially named*) *sed Personæ eorum sunt subjecti Regis sicut inhabitantes in Galesia, Gasconia, & Guyan.* The first thing I shall observe hereon, is the very *unfaithful* and *broken* Citation of this Passage, as will manifestly appear by comparing it with the true Transcript I have given thereof before, pag. 91. Were this all, 'twere in some measure  
par-

pardonable. But what cannot be excused, is the Unwarrantable Position in his Parenthesis, without the least colour or ground for it in his Text. Herein he concludes down right Magisterially; *So it must be, this is my Definitive Sentence*; as if his *Plain Assertion*, without any other Reason, ought to prevail; nay, even point blank against the irrefragable Reason of the Book he quotes. I confess in another place of *Calvin's Case*, viz. fol. 17. b. he gives this Assertion a Colour of Reason, by saying, *That tho' Ireland be a Distinct Dominion from England, yet the Title thereof being by Conquest, the same by Judgment of Law might by Express Words be bound by the Parliaments of England* How far Conquest gives a Title, we have Enquired before: But I would fain know what Lord Cook means by *Judgment of Law*: Whether he means the *Law of Nature and Reason*, or of *Nations*; or the *Civil Laws* of our *Commonwealths*; in none of which Senses, I conceive, will he, or any Man, be ever able to make out his Position.

Is the Reason of *England's* Parliament not Binding *Ireland*, Because we do not send thither Representatives? And is the Efficacy of this Reason taken off, by our being Named in an English Act? Why should sending Representatives to Parliament, Bind those that send them? Meerly because thereby the *Consent* of those that are Bound, is obtain'd, as far as those sort of Meetings can possibly permit; which is the very *Foundation* of the *Obligation* of all Laws. And is *Ireland's* being Named in an English Act of Parliament, the least step towards obtaining the *Consent* of the *People of Ireland*? If it be not, then certainly my Lord Cook's Parenthesis is to no purpose. And 'tis a wonder to me, that so many Men have run upon this vain Imagination, meerly from the Assertion of this Judge: For I challenge any Man to shew me, that any one *before* him, or any one *since*, but *from him*, has vended this *Doctrine*: And if the *bare Assertion* of a Judge, shall Bind a *whole Nation*, and Dis-

solve

solve the *Rights* and *Liberties*  
 thereof, We shall make their  
 Tongues very powerful, and con-  
 stitute them greater Lawgivers than  
 the greatest Senates. I do not see  
 why my *Denying* it, should not be  
 as *Authentick* as his *Affirming* it.  
 'Tis true, He was a great Lawyer  
 and a powerful Judge; but had no  
 more Authority to *make a Law*, than  
 I or any Man else. But some will  
 say, He was a Learned Judge, and  
 may be supposed to have *Reason*  
 for his Position. Why then does  
 he not give it us? And then what  
 he Asserts would Prevail, not from  
 the *Authority of the Person*, but  
 from the *Force of the Reason*. The  
 most Learned in the Laws have no  
 more power to make or alter a  
 Constitution, than any other Man;  
 And their Decisions shall no far-  
 ther prevail, than supported by  
 Reason and Equity. I conceive  
 my Ld. Ch. Justice *Cooke* apply'd  
 himself so wholly to the Study of  
 the *Common Laws of England*, that  
 he did not enquire far into the *Laws*  
*of Nature and Nations*; if he had,  
 certainly he could never have been  
 Guilty of such an Erroneous Slip;

He would have seen demonstrably, that *Consent only* gives Humane Laws their Force, and that therefore the Reason in the Case he quotes is unanswerable, *Quia non mittunt Milites ad Parliamentum.* Moreover, the Assertion of *Cooke* in this point is directly contrary to the whole tenour of the Case which he cites: For the very Act of Parliament on which the Debate of the Judges did arise, and which they deemed not to be of Force in *Ireland*, particularly names *Ireland*. So that here again *Ld. Cooke's* Error appears most plainly. For this I refer to the Report, as I have exactly delivered it before pag. 90, 91. By which it appears clearly to be the unanimous Opinion of all the Judges then in the *Exchequer Chamber*: That *within the Land of Ireland*, the Parliaments of *England* have no Jurisdiction, whatever they may have over the Subjects of *Ireland* on the *open Seas*: And the reason is given, *Quia Hibernia non mittit Milites ad Parliamentum in Angliâ.*

This

This Assertion likewise is inconsistent with himself in other parts of his Works. He tells us in his 4th. Int. pag. 349. *That 'tis plain that not only King John (as all Men allow) but Henry the Second also, the Father of King John, did Ordain and Command, at the Instance of the Irish, That such Laws as had been in England should be Observ'd and of Force in Ireland. Hereby Ireland being of it self a distinct Dominion, and no part of the Kingdom of England, was to have Parliaments holden there as in England.* And in pag. 12. he tells us, *That Henry the Second sent a Modus into Ireland, directing them how to hold their Parliaments.* But to what end was all this, if *Ireland* nevertheless were subject to the Parliament of *England*? The King and Parliaments of these Kingdoms are the supream Legislators; If *Ireland* be subject to Two (its Own, and that of *England*) it has *Two Supreams*; 'tis not impossible, but they may Enact *different or contrary* Sanctions; which of these shall the People Obey? He tells us in *Calvin's Case* fol.

fol. 17. b. *That if a King hath a Christian Kingdom by Conquest, as Henry the Second had Ireland, after King John had given to them, being under his Obedience, and Subjection, the Laws of England for the Government of that Country, no succeeding King could alter the same without Parliament.* Which, by the way, seems directly contradictory to what he says concerning *Ireland* six lines below this last cited passage. So that we may observe my Lord Cook enormously stumbling at every turn in this Point.

Opinions of  
other Judges,  
in Favour of  
*Ireland.*

Thus I have done with this Reverend Judge; and, in him, with the only *Positive Opinion against us.* I shall now consider what our Law-Books offer in our *Favour* on this Point.

To this purpose we meet a Case fully apposite, reported in the Year-Book of the 20th of *Henry the 6th*, fol. 8. between one *John Pilkington* and one *A.*

*Pilkingtons*  
Case,

*Pilkington* brought a *Scire. Facias* against *A.* to shew Cause, why Letters

ters

ters Patents whereby the King had granted an Office in *Ireland* to the said *A.* should not be repeal'd, since the said *Pilkington* had the same Office granted to him by former Letters Patents of the same King to be occupied by himself or his Deputy. Whereupon *A.* pleaded, That the Land of *Ireland*, time out of Memory, hath been a Land separated and distinct from the Land of *England*, and Ruled and Governed by the Customs of the same Land of *Ireland*. That the Lords of the same Land, which are of the King's Council, have used from time to time, in the absence of the King, to Elect a *Justice*, who hath Power to Pardon and Punish all Felons, &c. and to call a Parliament, and by the Advice of the Lords and Commonalty to make Statutes. He alledged further, That a Parliament was Assembled, and that it was Ordain'd by the said Parliament, (a) That every Man who had an Office within the said Land, before a certain day, shall occupy the said Office by himself, otherwise, he should forfeit. He shew'd that *Pilkington* Occupied by a Deputy;

(a) This Statute we may reckon, amongst the number of those that are lost during the long intervals of our Irish A&S, noted before page 65. to be about 118 Years.

puty; and that therefore his Office was void, and that the King had granted the said Office to him the said *A.* Hereupon *Pilkington* Demurr'd in Law; and it was debated by the Judges, *Telverton*, *Fortescue*, *Portington*, *Markham*, and *Ascough*, whether the said Prescription in relation to the State and Government of *Ireland*, be good or void in Law. *Telverton* and *Portington* held the Prescription void. But *Fortescue*, *Markham*, and *Ascough* held the Prescription good; and that the Letters Patents made to *A.* were good, and ought not to be Repeal'd. And in this it was agreed by *Fortescue* and *Portington*, That if a Tenth or Fifteenth be granted by Parliament in *England*, that shall not Bind *Ireland*, although the King should send the same Statute into *Ireland* under his Great Seal; Except they in *Ireland* will in their Parliament Approve it; Because they have not any Commandment by Writ to come to the Parliament of *England*: And this was not Denied by *Markham*, *Telverton*, or *Ascough*.

The

The *Merchants of Waterford's Case* which I have observed before, pag. 90. as Reported in the Year Book of the 2d. of *Richard* the 3d. fol. 11, 12, is notorious on our behalf, but needs not be here repeated.

Merchants  
of *Waterford's*  
Case.

The Case of the Prior of *Lanthony* in *Wales*, mentioned by Mr. *Pryn* against the 4th Inst. ch. 76. p. 313. is usually cited against us. But I conceive 'tis so far from proving this, that 'tis very much in our Behalf. The Case was briefly thus. The Prior of *Lanthony* brought an Action in the *Com. Pleas of Ireland* against the of Prior *Mollingar*, for an Arrear of an Annuity, and Judgment went against the Prior of *Mollingar*; hereon the Prior of *Mollingar* brought a Writ of Error in the *King's Bench of Ireland*, and the Judgment was affirmed. Then the Prior of *Mollingar* Appeal'd to the Parliament in *Ireland* held 5 *Hen.* 6. before *James Butler* Earl of *Ormond*, and the Parliament Revers'd both Judgments. The Prior of *Lanthony* removed all into the *King's Bench in England*; but the *King's Bench*

Prior of  
*Lanthony's*  
Case.

(a) Rot. Parl.  
An. 8. H. 6. in  
ult.

*Bench* refused to intermeddle, as having no Power over what had pass'd in the Parliament of Ireland. Hereupon the Prior of *Lanthony* Appeal'd to the Parliament of *England*. And it does not appear by the Parliament Roll (a) that any thing was done on this Appeal; all that is Entred being only the Petition it self at the end of the Roll. *Vid. Pryn* against the 4th Instit. chap. 76. p. 313.

Now whether this be a Precedent proving the Subordination of our Irish Parliament to that of *England*, I leave the Reader to judge. To me it seems the *clear contrary*. For first we may observe, the *King's Bench* in *England* absolutely disclaiming any Cognisance of what had passed in the Parliament of *Ireland*. And next we may observe, That nothing at all was done therein upon the Appeal to the Parliament of *England*: Certainly if the Parliament of *England* had thought themselves to have a Right to Enquire into this Matter, they had so done, one way or t'other, and not left the Matter Undetermin'd and in Suspence. It

It has ever been acknowledged that the Kingdom of *Ireland* is inseparably annex'd to the Imperial Crown of *England*. The Obligation that our Legislature lies under by *Poyning's Act*, 10 *H.7. c.4.* makes this Tye between the two Kingdoms indissoluble. And we must ever own it our Happiness to be thus Annex'd to *England*: And that the Kings and Queens of *England* are by undoubted Right, *ipso facto* Kings and Queens of *Ireland*. And from hence we may reasonably conclude, that if any Acts of Parliament made in *England*, should be of force in *Ireland*, before they are Received there in Parliament, they should be more especially such Acts as relate to the *Succession* and *Settlement of the Crown*, and *Recognition* of the Kings Title thereto, and the *Power* and *Jurisdiction* of the King. And yet we find in the Irish Statutes, 28 *Hen.VIII. c.2.* An Act for the *Succession of the King and Queen Ann*; and another, Chap. 5. declaring the King to be *Supream Head of the Church of Ireland*; both which Acts had formerly

Argument  
from Acts of  
Succession  
and Recognition  
pass'd in  
*Ireland*.

pass'd

pass'd in the Parliament of *England*. So likewise we find amongst the Irish Statutes *Acts of Recognition of the Kings Title to Ireland*, in the Reigns of *Henry the Eighth*, *Queen Elizabeth*, *King James*, *King Charles the Second*, *King William* and *Queen Mary*. By which it appears that *Ireland*, tho' Annex'd to the Crown of *England*, has always been look'd upon to be a *Kingdom Compleat within it self*, and to have an jurisdiction to an *Absolute Kingdom* belonging, and subordinate to no Legislative Authority on Earth. Tho', 'tis to be Noted, these English Acts relating to the Succession, and Recognition of the Kings Title, do particularly Name *Ireland*.

*Ireland's State  
Ecclesiastical  
Independent.*

As the *Civil State of Ireland* is thus *Absolute* within it self, so likewise is our State *Ecclesiastical*: This is manifest by the *Canons* and *Constitutions*; and even by the *Articles* of the *Church of Ireland*, which differ in some things from those of the *Church of England*. And in all the *Charters* and *Grants of Liberties* and *Immunities* to *Ireland*,

*Ireland*, we still find this, That *Holy Church shall be Free*, &c. I would fain know what is meant here by the word *Free*: Certainly if our *Church be Free* and Absolute within it self, our *State* must be so likewise; for how our *Civil* and *Ecclesiastical* Government is now interwoven, every body knows. But I will not enlarge on this head, it suffices only to hint it; I shall detain my self to our *Civil Government*.

Another Argument against the Parliament of *England's* Jurisdiction over *Ireland*, I take from a Record in *Reyley's Placita Parliamentaria*, pag. 569. to this effect: (a) In the 14th of *Edward* the Second, the King sent his Letters Patents to the Lord Justice of *Ireland*, letting him know, That he had been moved by his *Parliament* at *Westminster*, that he would give Order that the *Irish Natives* of *Ireland*, might enjoy the *Laws of England* concerning *Life* and *Member*, in as large and ample manner as the *English* of *Ireland* enjoy'd the same. Thus therefore the King gives in Commandment,

Argument  
from a Record  
in *Reyley*.

(a) 14 Ed. 2:  
Par. 2. Memb.  
21 Int.

mandment, and orders accordingly, by these his Letters Patents. From hence, I say, we may gather, That the Parliament of *England* did not then take upon them to have any *Jurisdiction* in *Ireland*, (for then they would have made a Law for *Ireland* to this Effect) but instead thereof, they Apply to the *King*, that he would interpose his Commands, and give Directions that this great Branch of the *Common Law* of *England* should be put in Execution in *Ireland* indifferently to all the Kings Subjects there, pursuant to the *Original Compact* made with them on their first Submission to the Crown of *England*.

Objection  
drawn from a  
Writ of Error.

Let us now consider the great Objection drawn from a *Writ of Error*'s lying from the *Kings Bench* of *England*, on a Judgment given in the *Kings Bench* in *Ireland*; which proves (as 'tis insisted on) that there is a *Subordination* of *Ireland* to *England*; and that if an *Inferiour* Court of Judicature in *England*, can thus take cognizance of, and over-rule the Proceedings in the like Court of *Ireland*; it will follow,

follow, that the *Supream* Court of Parliament in *England* may do the same, in relation to the Proceedings of the Court of Parliament in *Ireland*.

It must be confess'd that this has been the constant Practice; and it seems to be the great thing that induced my Lord *Cook* to believe that an Act of Parliament in *England*, and mentioning or Including *Ireland*, should Bind here. The Subordination of *Ireland* to *England*, he seems to infer from the Subordination of the *Kings Bench* of *Ireland*, to the *Kings Bench* of *England*. But to this I answer:

1. That 'tis the Opinion of several Learned in the Laws of *Ireland*, That this Removal of a Judgment from the *Kings Bench* of *Ireland*, by *Writ* of *Error*, into the *Kings Bench* of *England*, is founded on an Act of Parliament in *Ireland*, which is lost amongst a great number of other Acts, which we want for the space of 130 years at one time, and of 120 at another time, as we have noted before,

pag.65. But it being only a *General Tradition*, that there was such an Act of our Parliament, we only offer it as a *Surmise*, the Statute it self does not appear.

2. Where a Judgment in *Ireland* is Removed, to be Revers'd in *England*, the Judges in *England* ought, and always do judge, according to the *Laws and Customs of Ireland*, and not according to the *Laws and Customs of England*, any otherwise than as these may be of Force in *Ireland*; but if in any thing the two *Laws* differ, the *Law of Ireland* must prevail, and guide their Judgment. And therefore in the Case of one *Kelly*, Removed to the *Kings Bench* in *England*, in the beginning of King *Charles the First*, one Error was assigned that the *Præcipe* was of *Woods and Underwoods*, which is a manifest Error, if brought in *England*; but the Judges finding the Use to be *Otherwise* in *Ireland*, judged it *No Error*. So in *Crook, Charles*, fol. 511. *Mulcary* vers. *Eyres*. Error was assigned for that the Declaration was of one hundred Acres

Acres of *Bogg*, which is a word not known in *England*; but 'twas said, It was well enough understood in *Ireland*, and so adjudged *No Error*.

From whence, I conceive, 'tis manifest, that the Jurisdiction of the Kings Bench in *England*, over a Judgment in the Kings Bench of *Ireland*, does not proceed from any Subordination of one Kingdom to the other; but from some other Reason, which we shall endeavour to make out.

3. We have before observed, That in the Reign of K. *Henry the Third*, *Gerald Fitz-Maurice*, Lord Justice of *Ireland*, sent four Knights to know what was held for Law in *England* in the Case of *Coparceners*. The Occasion of which Message (as before we have noted out of the Kings Rescript) was, because the Kings Justice of *Ireland* was ignorant what the Law was. We may reasonably imagine that there were many Messages of this kind; for in the Infancy of the English Government, it may well be supposed,

posed, that the Judges in *Ireland* were not so deeply versed in the Laws of *England*: This occasioned Messages to *England*, Before Judgment given in *Ireland*, to be inform'd of the Law. And After Decrees made, Persons who thought themselves aggrieved by *Erroneous* Judgments, apply'd themselves to the King in *England* for Redress. Thus it must be, that Writs of Error (unless they had their Sanction in Parliament) became in use. Complaints to the King by those that thought themselves injur'd, increased; and at last grew into Custom, and obtain'd the Force of Law.

Perhaps it may be Objected, That if the Judges of the Kings Bench in *England* ought to Regulate their Judgment by the Customs of *Ireland*, and not of *England*, it will follow, that this Original which we assign of Writs of Error to *England*, is not right.

I Answer, That this may be the *Primary Original*, and yet consist well enough with what we have before

before laid down: For tho' the Common Law of *England* was to be the Common Law of *Ireland*, and *Ireland* at the beginning of its English Government might frequently send into *England* to be inform'd about it; yet this does not hinder, but *Ireland*, in a long Process of Time, may have some smaller Customs and Laws of its own, gradually but insensibly crept into Practice, that may in some measure differ from the Customs and Practice of *England*; and where there is any such, the Judges of *England* must regulate their Sentence accordingly, tho' the first Rise of Writs of Error to *England*, may be as we have here suggested. In like manner, where the Statute-Law of *Ireland* differs from that of *England*, the Judges of *England* will regulate their Judgments by the Statute-law of *Ireland*: This is the constant Practice, and notoriously known in *Westminster-Hall*: From which it appears, that removing a Judgment from the Kings Bench of *Ireland*, to the Kings Bench of *England*, is but an Appeal to the King in his Bench

of *England*, for his Sense, Judgment, or Exposition of the Laws of *Ireland*. But of this more hereafter.

4. When a *Writ of Error* is Returned into the Kings Bench of *England*, Suit is made to the *King only*; The Matter lies *altogether before Him*; and the Party complaining applies to *No Part* of the Political Government of *England* for Redress, but to the *King of Ireland only*, who is in *England*: That the *King only* is sued to, our Law-Books make Plain. This Court is call'd *Curia Domini Regis*, and *Aula Regia*, because the King used to sit there in Person, as *Lambard* tells us; And every Cause brought there, is said to be *coram Domino Rege*, even at this very day, *Cooke* 4 Inst. p.72. Therefore if a Writ be returnable *coram nobis ubicunque fuerimus*, 'tis to be Return'd to the *Kings Bench*. But if it be Returnable *coram Justiciariis nostris apud Westm.* 'tis to be Return'd into the *Common Pleas*. This Court (as *Glauvil* and other Antients tells us) used to Travel with the King, where-

where-ever he went. And *Fleta*, in describing this Court, says, *Habet Rex Curiam suam & Justiciarios suos, coram quibus, & non alibi nisi coram semet ipso, &c. falsa Judicia & Errores revertuntur & Corriguntur.* The King then (as *Britton* says) having Supream Jurisdiction in his Realm, to judge in all Causes whatsoever; therefore it is, that *Erroneous Judgments* were brought to him out of *Ireland*. But this does not argue that *Ireland* is therefore *Subordinate to England*; for the People of *Ireland* are the *Subjects* of the King to whom they Appeal. And 'tis not from the *Country* where the Court is held, but from the *Presence* and *Authority* of the King (to whom the People of *Ireland* have as good a Title as the People of *England*) that the *Præminence of the Jurisdiction* does flow, And I question not, but in former times, when these Courts were first Erected, and when the King Exerted a greater Power in Judicature than he does now, and he used to sit in his own Court, that if he had Travell'd into *Ireland*, and the Court had follow'd him

him thither ; Erroneous Judgments might have been removed from *England* before him into his Court in *Ireland* ; for so certainly it must be, since the Court Travell'd with the King. From hence it appears, that all the Jurisdiction, that the Kings Bench in *England*, has over the Kings Bench in *Ireland*, arises only from the Kings Presence in the former. And the same may be said of the Chancery in *England*, if it will assume any Power to Controul the Chancery in *Ireland* ; because (as *Lambard* says, p.69,70.) The Chancery did follow the King, as the Kings Bench did ; and that, as he tells us out of the Lord Chief Justice *Scroope*, the Chancery and the Kings Bench were once but one Place. But if this be the ground of the Jurisdiction of the Kings Bench in *England* over the Kings Bench in *Ireland*, (as I am fully perswaded it is ) the Parliament in *England* cannot from hence claim any Right of Jurisdiction in *Ireland*, because they claim a Jurisdiction of their own ; and their Court is not the Kings Court, in that proper and strict sence that the Kings Bench is.

But

But granting that the *Subordination* of the *Kings Bench* in *Ireland*, to the *Kings Bench* in *England*, be rightly concluded from a *Writ of Error* out of the latter, lying on a Judgment in the former. I see no Reason from thence to conclude, that therefore the Parliament of *Ireland* is *Subordinate* to the Parliament in *England*, unless we make any *one sort* of Subordination, or in any *one part* of Jurisdiction, to be a Subordination in *all Points*, and all parts of Jurisdiction. The Subjects of *Ireland* may Appeal to the King in his Bench in *England*, for the *Expounding* of the *Old* common and Statute-Law of *Ireland*; will it therefore follow that the Parliament of *England* shall make *New Laws* to bind the Subjects in *Ireland*? I see no manner of Consequence in it; unless we take *Expounding Old Laws*, (or *Laws already made*) in the *Kings Bench*, and making *New Laws* in Parliament, to be *one* and the *same thing*. I believe the best Logician in *Europe* will hardly make a Chain of *Syllogisms*, that from such *Premises*, will

will regularly induce such a *Conclusion*.

To close this Point, We find that a Judgment of the Kings Bench in *Ireland*, may be Removed by a Writ of Error to the Parliament in *Ireland*: But the Judgment of the Parliament of *Ireland* was never question'd in the Parliament of *England*. This Appears from the *Prior of Lanthony's Case* afore-going.

Declaration in  
the Irish Act  
of Faculties.

I shall conclude this our Fifth Article with a memorable Passage out of our Irish Statutes, which seems to strengthen what we have delivered on the Business of a *Writ of Error*, as well as the chief Doctrine I drive at; and that is 28 *H. VIII. Chap. 19. The Act of Faculties*. This Statute is a Recital at large of the English Act of the 25 *Hen. VIII. c. 21*. In the Preamble of which English Act 'tis Declared, *That this Tour Graces Realm Recognizing no Superiour but Tour Grace, hath been and yet is free from any Subjection to any Mans Laws, but only such as have been Devised with-*

in this Realm, for the Wealth of the same, or to such others, as by Sufferance of Your Grace and Your Progenitors, the People of the Realm have taken at their Free Liberties by their own Consent; and have bound themselves by long Use and Custom to the Observance of, &c.

This Declaration, with the other Clauses of the said English Act, is *verbatim* recited in the Irish Act of Faculties; and in the said Irish Act it is Enacted, That the said English Act, and every thing and things therein contained, shall be Established, Affirmed, Taken, Obey'd and Accepted within this Land of Ireland as a good and perfect Law, and shall be within the said Land of the same Force, Effect, Quality, Condition, Strength and Vertue, to all Purposes and Intents, as it is within the Realm of England; (if so, then the said Clause declares our Right of being bound only by Laws to which we Consent, as it does the Right of the People of England) And that all Subjects within the said Land of Ireland, shall enjoy the Profit and Commodities thereof, in like manner

as the Kings Subjects of the Realm  
of England.

Farther Rea-  
sons offered in  
behalf of  
Ireland.

I am now Arrived at our Sixth  
and Last Article Proposed, viz. The  
*Reasons and Arguments that may be  
be farther Offered on one side and t'o-  
ther in this Debate.*

England's Ti-  
tle to Ireland  
by Purchase.

I have before taken notice of the  
Title *England* pretends over us  
from *Conquest*: I have likewise en-  
quired into the *Precedents* on one  
side and t'other, from *Acts of Par-  
liament*, from *Records*, and from  
*Reports* of the Learned in the  
Laws. There remains another  
Pretence or two for this *Subordina-  
tion*, to be Considered; and one is  
founded on *Purchase*.

'Tis said, That vast Quantity  
of Treasure, that from time to  
time has been spent by *England* in  
Reducing the Rebellions and carry-  
ing on the Wars of *Ireland*, has  
given them a just *Title* at least to  
the *Lands* and *Inheritances* of the  
Rebels, and to the absolute Dispo-  
sal thereof in their Parliament;  
And as particular Examples of this,

we

we are told of the great Sums Advanced by *England* for suppressing the Rebellion of the Irish Papists in 41. and Opposing the late Rebellion since King *WILLIAM's* Accession to the Throne.

To this I Answer, That in a War there is all Reason imaginable that the Estates of the Unjust Opposers should go to repair the the Damage that is done. This I have briefly hinted before. But if we consider the Wars of *Ireland*, we shall perceive they do not resemble the common Case of Wars between two Foreign Enemies; Ours are rather Rebellions, or *Intestine Commotions*; that is, The *Irish Papists* rising against the *King* and *Protestants* of *Ireland*; and then 'tis plain, that if these Latter, by the Assistance of their Brethren of *England*, and their Purse, do prove Victorious, the People of *England* ought to be fully Repaid: But then the manner of their Payment, and in what way it shall be Levied, ought to be left to the People of *Ireland* in Parliament Assembled: And so it was after  
the

the Rebellion of 41. The *Adventurers* then were at vast Charges, and there were several Acts of Parliament in *England* made for their Re-imbursing, by disposing to them the Rebels Lands. But after all, it was thought Reasonable that the Parliament of *Ireland* should do this in their own way; and therefore the *Acts of Settlement* and *Explanation*, made all the former English Acts of *No Force*; or at least did very much *Alter* them in many Particulars, as we have Noted before. In like manner we allow that *England* ought to be repaid all their Expences in suppressing this late Rebellion: All we desire is, That, in Preservation of our own Rights and Liberties, we may do it in our own Methods regularly in our own Parliament: And if the Re-imbursment be all that *England* stands upon, what availeth it whether it be done this way or that way, so it be done? We have an Example of this in Point between *England* and *Holland* in the Glorious Revolution under His Present Majesty: *Holland* in Assisting *England* Expended 600000 Pounds,

Pounds, and the English Parliament fairly repay'd them: It would have look'd oddly for *Holland* to have insisted on Disposing of Lord *Powis's* and other Estates, by their own Laws, to re-imburse themselves.

'Tis an Ungenerous thing to vil-lifie good Offices, I am far from doing it, but with all possible Gratitude Acknowledge the Mighty Benefits *Ireland* has often receiv'd from *England*, in helping to suppress the Rebellions of this Country; To *England's* Charitable Assistance our Lives and Fortunes are owing: But with all humble Submission, I desire it may be considered, whether *England* did not at the same time propose the *Prevention of their own Danger*, that would necessarily have attended our Ruine; if so, 'twas in some measure their *own Battels* they fought, when they fought for *Ireland*; and a great part of their Expence must be reckon'd in their *own Defence*.

Another thing alledged against *Ireland* is this; If a Foreign Nation,

Object. *Ire-*  
*land* prejudi-  
 cial to *Eng-*  
*land's* Trade,  
 therefore to be  
 Bound.

tion, as *France* or *Spain* for instance, prove prejudicial to *England*, in its Trade, or any other way; *England*, if it be stronger, redresses it itself by Force of Arms, or Denouncing War; and why may not *England*, if *Ireland* lies cross their Interests, restrain *Ireland*, and bind it by Laws, and maintain these Laws by Force?

To this I answer: First, That it will hardly be instanced, that any Nation ever Declared War with another, meerly for over-topping them in some signal Advantage, which otherwise, or but for their Endeavours, they might have reaped. War only is Justifiable for *Injustice* done, or *Violence* offer'd, or *Rights* detain'd. I cannot by the Law of Nations, quarrel with a Man, because he, going before me in the Road, finds a Piece of Gold, which possibly, if he had not taken it up, I might have light upon and gotten. 'Tis true, we often see Wars commenced on this Account *under-hand*, and on Emulation in Trade and Riches; but then this is never made the *Open Pre-*  
*tence*

*tence*, some other *Colour* it must receive, or else it would not look *fair*; which shews plainly, that this Pretence of *being Prejudicial*, or of reaping Advantages which otherwise you might partake of, is not *Justifiable* in it self. But granting that it were a good Justification of a War with a *Foreign Nation*, it will make nothing in the Case between *England* and *Ireland*; for if it did, why does it not operate in the same manner between *England* and *Scotland*, and consequently in like manner draw after it *England's* binding *Scotland* by their Laws at *Westminster*: We are all the *same Kings* Subjects, the Children of one *Common Parent*; and tho' we may have our *Distinct* Rights and Inheritances absolutely within our selves; yet we ought not, when these do chance a little to interfere to the prejudice of one or t' other side, immediately to treat one another as *Enemies*; fair *Amicable* Propositions should be proposed, and when these are not hearkened to, then 'tis time enough to be at *Enmity*, and use *Force*.

Object. *Ireland*  
and a Colony.

The last thing I shall take Notice of, that some raise against us, is, That *Ireland* is to be look'd upon only as a *Colony* from *England*: And therefore as the *Roman Colonies* were subject to, and bound by, the *Laws* made by the *Senate* at *Rome*; so ought *Ireland* by those made by the *Great Council* at *Westminster*. Of all the *Objections* raised against us, I take this to be the most *Extravagant*; it seems not to have the least *Foundation* or *Colour* from *Reason* or *Record*: Does it not manifestly appear by the *Constitution* of *Ireland*, that 'tis a *Compleat Kingdom* within it self? Do not the *Kings* of *England* bear the *Stiile* of *Ireland* amongst the rest of their *Kingdoms*? Is this *Agreeable* to the nature of a *Colony*? Do they use the *Title* of *Kings* of *Virginia*, *New-England*, or *Mary-Land*? Was not *Ireland* given by *Henry* the *Second* in a *Parliament* at *Oxford* to his *Son John*, and made thereby an *Absolute Kingdom*, *separate* and wholly *Independent* on *England*, till they both came *United* again in him, after the *Death*

Death of his Brother *Richard* without Issue? Have not multitudes of Acts of Parliament both in *England* and *Ireland*, declared *Ireland* a *Compleat Kingdom*? Is not *Ireland* stiled in them All, the *Kingdom*, or *Realm* of *Ireland*? Do these Names agree to a *Colony*? Have we not a Parliament, and Courts of Judicature? Do these *things* agree with a *Colony*? This on all hands involves so many Absurdities, that I think it deserves nothing more of our Consideration.

These being the only remaining Arguments that are sometimes mention'd *Against us*, I now proceed to offer what I humbly conceive *Demonstrates* the Justice of our Cause.

And herein I must beg the Reader's Patience, if now and then I am forced lightly to touch upon some Particulars foregoing. I shall Endeavour all I can to avoid prolix Repetitions; but my Subject requires that sometimes I just mention, or refer to, several Notes before delivered.

First therefore, I say, *That Ireland should be Bound by Acts of Parliament made in England, is against Reason, and the Common Rights of all Mankind.*

Against the  
Rights of  
Mankind.

Consent only  
gives Law  
force.

All Men are by Nature in a state of Equality, in respect of Jurisdiction or Dominion: This I take to be a Principle in it self so evident, that it stands in need of little Proof. 'Tis not to be conceiv'd, that Creatures of the same Species and Rank, promiscuously born to all the same Advantages of Nature, and the use of the same Faculties, should be Subordinate and Subject one to another; These to this or that of the same Kind. On this Equality in Nature is founded that Right which all Men claim, of being free from all Subjection to Positive Laws, till by their own *Consent* they give up their Freedom, by entering into Civil Societies for the common Benefit of all the Members thereof. And on this *Consent* depends the *Obligation* of all *Humane Laws*; insomuch that without it, by the Unanimous Opinion of all

all *Jurists*, no Sanctions are of any Force. For this let us Appeal, amongst many, only to the *Judicious Mr. Hooker's Eccles. Polity*, Book 1. Sec. 10. Lond. Edit. 1676. Thus He.

*Howbeit, Laws do not take their Constraining force from the Quality of such as Devise them, but from that Power which doth give them the strength of Laws. That which we spake before, concerning the Power of Government, must here be applied to the Power of making Laws whereby to Govern, which Power God hath over All; and by the Natural Law, whereunto he hath made all subject, the Lawful Power of making Laws, to command whole Politick Societies of Men, belongeth so properly unto the same entire Societies, that for any Prince or Potentate, of what kind soever upon Earth, to exercise the same of himself, and not either by exprels Commission immediately and personally receiv'd from God, or else by Authority derived at the first from their Consent, upon whose Persons they impose Laws, it is no better than meer Tyranny. Laws they are not therefore, which Publick Ap-*

probation *bath not made so*: But Approbation not only they Give, who Personally declare their *Affent by Voice, Sign, or Act*; but also when others do it in their Names, by Right Originally, at the least, derived from them: *As in Parliaments, Councils, &c.*

Again, *Sith Men Naturally have no full and perfect Power to command whole Politick Multitudes of Men*; therefore utterly without our Consent, we could *in such sort be at no Mans Commandment living*. And to be commanded we do consent, when that Society whereof we are part, *bath at any time before consented, without revoking the same after by the like Universal Agreement*. Wherefore as any Mans Deed past is good, as long as himself continueth, so the Act of a Publick Society of Men, done five hundred years sithence, standeth as theirs who presently are of the same Societies, because Corporations are Immortal; we were then alive in our Predecessors, and they in their Successors do still live. Laws therefore Humane of what kind soever, are available by Consent, &c.

And

And again, *But what matter the Law of Nations doth contain, I omit to search ; the strength and vertue of that Law is such, that no particular Nation can lawfully prejudice the same by any their severall Laws and Ordinances, more then a Man by his Private Resolutions the Law of the whole Commonwealth or State wherein he liveth ; for as Civil Law being the Act of a whole Body Politick, doth therefore over-rule each Civil part of the same Body ; so there is no Reason that any one Commonwealth of it self, should to the Prejudice of another, annihilate that whereupon the whole World hath Agreed.*

To the same purpose may we find the Universal Agreement of all Civilians, *Grotius, Puffendorf, Lock's Treat. Government, &c.*

No one or more Men, can by Nature challenge any *Right, Liberty or Freedom*, or any Ease in his *Property, Estate or Conscience*, which all other Men have not an *Equally Just Claim to*. Is *England* a *Free People*? So ought *France* to be. Is *Poland* so? *Turky* likewise, and all  
the

the *Eastern Dominions*, ought to be so : And the same runs throughout the whole *Race of Mankind*.

Against the  
Common  
Law of Eng-  
land.

Secondly, 'Tis against the Common Laws of *England*, which are of Force both in *England* and *Ireland*, by the *Original Compact* before hinted. It is Declared by both Houses of the Parliament of *England*, 1 Jac. cap. 1. That in the High Court of Parliament, all the whole Body of the Realm, and every particular Member thereof, either in Person, or by Representation (upon their own Free Elections) are by the Laws of this Realm deem'd to be Personally present. Is this then the common Law of *England*, and the Birth-right of every Free-born English Subject? And shall we of this Kingdom be deny'd it, by having Laws imposed on us, where we are neither Personally, nor Representatively present? My Lord Cooke in his 4th Inst. cap. 1. saith, That all the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and all the Commons of the whole Realm, ought ex Debito Justiciæ to be Summon'd to Parliament, and none of them ought to be Omitted. Hence

it is call'd *Generale Concilium* in the Stat. of *Westminst. 1.* and *Commune Concilium*, because it is to comprehend all Persons and Estates in the whole Kingdom. And this is the very Reason given in the Case of the *Merchants of Waterford* foregoing, why Statutes made in *England*, should not bind them in *Ireland*, *Quia non habent Milites hic in Parlamento*; Because they have no *Representatives* in the Parliament of *England*. My Lord *Hobbar*d in the Case of *Savage and Day*, pronounc'd it for Law, That whatever is against Natural Equity and Reason, is against Law; Nay, if an Act of Parliament were made against Natural Equity and Reason, that Act was void. Whether it be not against Equity and Reason, that a Kingdom regulated within it self, and having its own Parliament, should be Bound *without their Consent*, by the Parliament of another Kingdom, I leave the Reader to consider. My Lord *Cooke* likewise in the first Part of his *Institutes*, fol. 97. b. saith, *Nihil quod est contra Rationem est Licitum*. And in the old *Modus Tenendi Parliamenta* of  
*England*,

*England*, said to be writ about *Edward the Confessor's* time, and to have been Confirmed and Approved by *William the Conqueror*: It is expressly declared, That all the *Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and the Knights, Citizens, and Burgesses* ought to be summoned to Parliament. The very same is in the *Modus* sent into *Ireland* by *Henry the 2d.* And in *King John's Great Charter* dated 17. *Johannis*, 'tis granted in these words, *Et ad habend. Commune Concilium Regni de Auxiliis & Scutagiis Assidendis, Submoneri faciemus Archiepiscopos, Episcopos, Abbates, Comites, & Majores Barones, Regni Sigillatim per Literas Nostras, & faciemus submoneri in generali per Vicecomites omnes alios, &c.* *Math. Paris ad An. 17. Johann.* All are to be Summoned to Parliament, the *Nobility* by special Writts; the *Commons* by general Writts to the Sheriffs. And is this the *Common Law* of *England*? Is this part of those *Liberæ Consuetudines*, that were contained in the *Great Charter* of the *Liberties* of the People of *England*; And were so solemnly granted by *Henry II.*

King John, and Henry the 3d, to the People of Ireland, that they shou'd Enjoy and be Govern'd by; and unto which they were Sworn to be Obedient; And shall they be of Force *only in England*, and not in *Ireland*? Shall *Ireland* Receive these *Charters of Liberties*, and be no Partakers of the *Freedoms* therein contained? Or do these words signifie in *England* *one thing*, and in *Ireland* *no such thing*? This is so repugnant to all Natural Reason and Equity, that I hope no Rational Man will Contest it: I am sure if it be so, there's an end of all Speech amongst Men; All Compacts, Agreements, and Societies, are to no purpose.

3. It is against the Statute Laws both of *England*, and *Ireland*: this has been pretty fully disus'd before; however I shall here again take notice, That (a) in the 10. of Henry the 4th it was Enacted in *Ireland*, that Statutes made in *England* should not be of Force in *Ireland*, unless they were Allowed and Published by the Parliament of *Ireland*. And the like Statute was made the 29th

Against the Statute Law both of *England* and *Ireland*.

(a) See before pag. 65.

of *Henry the 6th.* And in the 10th Year of *Henry the 7th.* Chap. 23 Irish Statutes, The Parliament which was held at *Drogheda*, before *Sir Christophèr Preston*, Deputy to *Jaspar Duke of Bedford*, Lieutenant of *Ireland*, was declared Void, for this Reason amongst others, *That there was no General Summons of the said Parliament to all the Shires, but only to Four.* And if Acts of Parliament made in *Ireland* shall not Bind that People, because some Counties were omitted: how much less shall either their Persons or Estates be Bound by those Acts made in *England*, whereat no one County, or Person of that Kingdom is present? In the (b) 25th of *Edward the 1st.* Cap. 6. It was Enacted by the Parliament of *England* in these Words, *Moreover from henceforth we shall take no manner of Aid, Taxes, or Prizes, but by the Common Assent of the Realm.* (c) And again in the Statute of *Liber-ties*, by the same King, Cap. 1. *De Tallag. non Concedend.* it is Enacted in these Words. *No Tallage or Aid shall be Taken or Levy'd by Us, or Our Heirs, in Our Realm, without the*

(b) Pultons  
Col. Eng.  
Stats. Edit.  
1670. pag. 63.

(c.) *ibid.* page  
75. 23 289

*the Good Will and Assent of Archbishops, Bishops, Earls, Barons, Knights, Burgesses, and other Freemen of the Land.* The like Liberties are specially Confirm'd to the Clergy, <sup>(d)</sup> <sup>(d) ibid. page.</sup> the 14th of *Edward the 3d.* <sup>113.</sup> And were these Statutes, and all other Statutes and Acts of the Parliament of *England* Ratified, Confirmed, and Adjudged by several Parliaments of *Ireland* to be of Force within this Realm: And shall the People of *Ireland* receive no Benefit by those Acts? Are those Statutes of Force in *England* only; And can they add no Immunity or Priviledge to the Kingdom of *Ireland*, when they are received there? Can the King and Parliament make Acts in *England* to Bind his Subjects of *Ireland* without their Consent; And can he make no Acts in *Ireland* with their Consent, whereby they may receive any Priviledge or Immunity? This were to make the Parliaments of *Ireland* wholly Illusory, and of no Effect. If this be Reasonable Doctrine, To what end was *Poyning's Law* in *Ireland*, <sup>(e)</sup> that <sup>(e) 10 H. 7. c. 22</sup> makes all the Statutes of *England* before that, in Force in this Kingdom;

dom? This might as well have been done, and again undone, when they please, by a single Act of the English Parliament. But let us not make thus light of Constitutions of Kingdoms, 'tis *Dangerous* to those who *do it*, 'tis *Grievous* to those that *suffer it*.

Moreover, Had the King or his Council of *England*, in the 10th year of *Hen. VII.* in the least dreamt of this Doctrine, to what end was all that strict Provision made by *Poyning's Act*, Irish Stat. cap. 4. That no Act of Parliament should pass in *Ireland*, before it was first Certified by the Chief Governour and Privy Council here, under the Broad Seal of this Kingdom, to the King and his Privy Council in *England*, and received their Approbation, and by them be remitted hither under the Broad Seal of *England*, here to be pass'd into a Law? The design of this Act, seems to be the Prevention of any thing passing in the Parliament of *Ireland* *Surreptitiously*, to the *Prejudice* of the *King*, or the *English Interest* of *Ireland*. But this was a needless

needless Caution, if the King, and Parliament of *England*, had Power at any time to revoke or annul any such Proceedings. Upon this *Act of Poynings*, many and various Acts have pass'd in *Ireland*, relating to the Explanation, Suspension, or farther Corroboration thereof, in divers Parliaments, both in *Henry the Eighth's*, *Phil. & Mary's*, and *Q. Eliz.* Reigns; for which see the Irish Statutes. (a) All which shew that this *Doctrine* was hardly so much as *Surmised in those Days*, however we come to have it raised in these *Latter Times*.

(a) 28 H. 8. c. 41  
28 H. 8. c. 20.  
3 & 4 Ph. & M.  
c. 4.  
11 Eliz. Sef. 2.  
c. 1.  
11 Eliz. Sef. 3.  
c. 8.

Fourthly, 'Tis against several *Charters of Liberties* Granted unto the Kingdom of *Ireland*: This likewise is clearly made out by what foregoes. I shall only add in this place, That in the Patent-Roll of the 17 *Rich. 2. m. 34. de Confirmatione*, There is a Confirmation of several *Liberties* and *Immunities* granted unto the Kingdom and People of *Ireland* by *Edw. III.* The Patent is somewhat long; but so much as concerns this Particular, I shall render *verbatim*, as I have it

Against several  
Concessions made to  
*Ireland*.

Transcribed from the Roll by Sir  
*William Domvile*, Attorney General  
 in Ireland during the whole Reign  
 of King Charles II. *Rex omnibus,*  
 &c. *Salutem : Inspeximus Literas*  
*Patentes Domini Edwardi nuper Re-*  
*gis Angliæ, Avi nostri fact. in hæc*  
*verba : Edwardus Dei Gra. Rex*  
*Angliæ & Franciæ, & Dominus Hi-*  
*berniæ, Archiepiscopis, Episcopis, Ab-*  
*batibus, Prioribus, Ministri nostris*  
*tam Majoribus quam Minoribus, &*  
*quibuscumque aliis de Terra nostra*  
*Hiberniæ fidelibus nostris ad quos*  
*Præsentes Literæ pervenerint, Salu-*  
*tem : Quia, &c. Nos hæc quæ sequun-*  
*tur Ordinanda Duximus & firmiter*  
*observanda, &c. Imprimis, vizt. Vo-*  
*lumus & Præcipimus quod Sancta Hi-*  
*bernicana Ecclesia suas Libertates &*  
*Liberas Consuetudines illesas habeat,*  
*& eis Libere gaudeat & Utatur.*  
*Item volumus & præcipimus quod no-*  
*stra & ipsius Terræ Negotia presertim*  
*Majora & Ardua in Consiliis per Pe-*  
*ritos Consiliaros nostros ac Prælatos &*  
*Magnates & quosdam de Discretiori-*  
*bus & Probioribus Hominibus de par-*  
*tibus vicinis ubi ipsa Concilia teneri*  
*Contigerit propter hoc evocandos, in*  
*Parliamentis vero per ipsos Concilia-*

ros nostros ac Prælatos & Procereſ  
 alioſque de terra prædicta prout Mos  
 Exegit ſecundum Juſticiam Legem  
 Conſuetudinem & Rationem tracten-  
 tur deducantur & fideliter timore fa-  
 vore odio aut prætio poſt poſitis diſ-  
 cutiantur ac etiam terminentur, &c.  
 In Cujus Rei Teſtimonium haſ Literas  
 noſtras fieri fecimus Patentes Teſte  
 meipſo Apud Weſtminſt. 25 die Octob.  
 Anno Regni noſtris Angliæ 31, Regni  
 vero Franciæ 18. Nos autem Ordina-  
 tiones Voluntates & Præcepta Præ-  
 dicta ac omnia alia & ſingula in Lit-  
 teris prædictis Contenta Rata Haben-  
 tes & Grata Ea pro nobis & Hære-  
 dibus noſtris quantum in nobis eſt Ac-  
 ceptamus, Approbamus, Ratiſicamus,  
 & Conſirmamus prout Literæ prædi-  
 ctæ rationabiliter teſtante. In Cujus,  
 &c. Teſt. Reg. apud Weſtminſt. 26  
 die Junii.

Fifthly, It is inconſiſtent with the Royalties and Præeminence of a Separate and Diſtinct Kingdom. That we are thus a Diſtinct Kingdom, has been clearly made out before. 'Tis plain, the Nobility of Ireland are an Order of Peers clearly Diſtinct from the Peccage of England, the

Inconſiſtent  
 with the Roy-  
 alties of a  
 Kingdom.

Priviledges of the one, extend not into the other Kingdom; a Lord of *Ireland* may be Arrested by his Body in *England*, and so may a Lord of *England* in *Ireland*, whilst their Persons remain Sacred in their respective Kingdoms: A *Voyage Royal* may be made into *Ireland*, as the Year-Book, 11 *Hen. 4. 17. fol. 7.* and Lord *Cook* tells us; and King *John* in the 12th year of his Reign of *England*, made a *Voyage Royal* into *Ireland*; and all his Tenants in Chief, which did not attend him in that Voyage, did pay him *Escuage*, at the Rate of Two Marks for every *Knights Fee*; which was imposed *super Prælatiſ & Baroniſ pro Paſſagio Regiſ in Hibernia*, as appears by the Pipe-Roll, *Scutag. 12 Johanniſ Regiſ in Scaccario Angl.* Which ſhews that we are a *Compleat Kingdom* within our ſelves, and not little better than a *Province*, as ſome are ſo Extravagant as to Aſſert; none of the Properties of a *Roman Province* agreeing in the leaſt with our Conſtitution. 'Tis Reſolved in Sir *Richard Pembrough's* Caſe in the 44th of *Edw. III.* That Sir *Richard* might lawfully reſuſe  
the

the King, to serve him as his *Deputy in Ireland*, and that the King could not *Compel* him thereto, for that were to *Banish him into another Kingdom*, which is against *Magna Charta*, Chap.29. Nay, even tho' Sir *Richard* had great Tenures from the King, *pro servitio Impenso & Impendendo*, for that was said must be understood *within the Realm of England*, *Cooks 2d Inst.pag.47*. And in *Pilkington's Case* aforementioned, *Fortescue* declared, That the Land of *Ireland* is and at all times hath been a *Dominion Separate and Divided from England*. How then can the Realms of *England* and *Ireland*, being *Distinct Kingdoms* and *Separate Dominions*, be imagin'd to have any *Superiority* or *Jurisdiction* the one over the other. 'Tis absurd to fancy that Kingdoms are *Separate and Distinct* meerly from the *Geographical Distinction of Territories*. Kingdoms become *Distinct* by *Distinct Jurisdictions*, and *Authorities Legislative* and *Executive*; and as *Rex est qui Regem non habet*, so *Regnum est quod alio non Subjicitur Regno*: A Kingdom can have no *Supream*; 'tis in it self *Supream* with-

in it self, and must have all Jurisdictions, Authorities and Præeminencies to the Royal State of a Kingdom belonging, or else 'tis none: And that *Ireland* has all these, is declared in the Irish Stat. 33 *Hen. VIII. c. 1.* The chief of these most certainly is, the *Power of Making and Abrogating its own Laws*, and being bound only by such to which the *Community* have given their *Consent*.

Against the  
Kings Prero-  
gative.

Sixthly, It is *against the Kings Prerogative*, that the Parliament of *England* should have any Co-ordinate Power with Him, to introduce New Laws, or Repeal Old Laws Established in *Ireland*. By the Constitution of *Ireland* under *Poyning's Act*, the King's Prerogative in the Legislature is advanced to a much higher Pitch than ever was Challenged by the Kings in *England*, and the Parliament of *Ireland* stands almost on the same bottom as the King does in *England*; I say almost on the same Bottom, for the Irish Parliament have not only a *Negative Vote* (as the King has in *England*) to whatever Laws the King and

and his Privy Councils of both or either Kingdom, shall lay before them ; but have also a Liberty of *Proposing* to the King and his Privy Council here, such *Laws* as the Parliament of *Ireland* think expedient to be pass'd. Which *Laws* being thus Proposed to the King, and put into form, and Transmitted to the Parliament here, according to *Poyning's Act*, must be Pass'd or Rejected in the *very Words*, even to a *Tittle*, as they are laid before our Parliament, we cannot alter the least *Iota*. If therefore the *Legislature* of *Ireland* stand on this Foot, in relation to the King, and to the Parliament of *Ireland* ; and the Parliament of *England* do Remove it from this Bottom, and Assume it to themselves, where the Kings Prerogative is much *Narrower*, and as it were *Reversed*, (for there the King has only a *Negative Vote*) I humbly conceive 'tis an *Incroachment* on the Kings *Prerogative* : But this I am sure, the Parliament of *England* will be always very Tender of, and His Majesty will be very loth to have such a Precious Jewel of his Crown handled ruffly.

The Happiness of our Constitutions depending on a Right Temperament between the *Kings* and the *Peoples Rights*.

Against the  
Practice of  
former Ages.

Seventhly, It is *against the Practice of all former Ages*. Wherein can it appear, that any Statute made in *England*, was at any time since the Reign of *Henry* the Third, allowed and put in practice in the Realm of *Ireland*, without the *Authority of the Parliament of Ireland*. Is it not manifest by what foregoes, that from the Twentieth of King *Henry* the Third, to the Thirteenth of *Edward* the Second, and from thence to the Eighteenth of *Henry* the Sixth, and from thence, to the Thirty-Second of *Henry* the Sixth, and from thence, to the Eighth of *Edward* the Fourth, and from thence, to the Tenth of *Henry* the seventh, there was special care taken to Introduce the Statutes of *England*, (such of them as were necessary or convenient for this Kingdom) by degrees, and always with *Allowance*, and *Consent of the Parliament and People of Ireland*. And since the *General Allowance*, of all the

the English Acts and Statutes in the Tenth of *Henry* the Seventh, there have several Acts of Parliament, which were made in *England* in the Reigns of all the Kings from that Time, Successively to this very Day, been particularly Receiv'd by Parliament in *Ireland*, and so they become of force here, and not by reason of any *General Comprehensive* words, as some Men have lately fancied. For if by *General Comprehensive Words*, the Kingdom of *Ireland* could be bound by the Acts of Parliament of *England*, what needed all the former *Receptions* in the Parliament of *Ireland*, or what *use will there be of the Parliament of Ireland at any time?* If the Religion, Lives, Liberties, Fortunes, and Estates of the Clergy, Nobility, and Gentry of *Ireland*, may be dispos'd of, without their *Privy* and *Consent*, what Benefit have they of any Laws, Liberties, or Priviledges granted unto them by the Crown of *England*? I am loth to give their Condition an *hard Name*; but I have no other Notion of *Slavery*, but being Bound by a Law to which I do not Consent.

Against the  
Resolution of  
Judges.

Eighthly, 'Tis against several  
*Resolutions* of the *Learned Judges*,  
of former times in the very Point  
in Question. This is manifest from  
what foregoes in the Case of the  
*Merchants of Waterford*, *Pilking-*  
*ton's Case*, *Prior of Lanthony's*  
*Case*, &c. But I shall not here in-  
large farther thereon.

Destroys Pro-  
perty.

Ninthly, The Obligation of all  
Laws having the same Foundation,  
if *One Law* may be Imposed  
*without Consent*, any *Other Law*  
whatever, may be Imposed on us  
*Without our Consent*. This will  
naturally introduce *Taxing us with-*  
*out our Consent*; and this as neces-  
sarily destroys our *Property*. I  
have no other Notion of *Property*,  
but a *Power of Disposing my Goods*  
*as I please*, and not as another  
shall Command: Whatever ano-  
ther may *Rightfully* take from me  
*without my Consent*, I have certain-  
ly no *Property* in. To *Tax* me  
without Consent, is little better, if  
at all, than *down-right Robbing me*.  
I am sure the Great Patriots of Li-  
berty and Property, the Free Peo-  
ple

ple of *England*, cannot think of such a thing, but with Abhorrence.

Lastly, The People of *Ireland* are left by this Doctrine in the Greatest *Confusion* and *Uncertainty* Imaginable. We are certainly bound to Obey the *Supream Authority* over us ; and yet hereby we are not permitted to know *Who* or *What* the same is ; whether the *Parliament of England*, or *that of Ireland*, or *Both* ; And in what Cases the *One*, and in what the *Other* : Which *Uncertainty* is or may be made a Pretence at any time for *Disobedience*. It is not impossible but the Different Legislatures we are subject to, may Enact Different, or Contrary Sanctions : Which of these must we obey ?

Creates Confusion.

To conclude all, I think it highly *Inconvenient* for *England* to Assume this *Authority* over the Kingdom of *Ireland* : I believe there will need no great Arguments to convince the Wise Assembly of English Senators, how *inconvenient* it may be to *England*, to do that which may make

Inconvenient to *England* to Assume this Power.

make the *Lords and People of Ireland* think that they are not *Well Used*, and may drive them into *Discontent*. The *Laws and Liberties of England* were granted above five hundred years ago to the *People of Ireland*, upon their *Submissions* to the *Crown of England*, with a *Design* to make them *Easie to England*, and to keep them in the *Allegiance* of the *King of England*. How *Consistent* it may be with *True Policy*, to do that which the *People of Ireland* may think is an *Invasion* of their *Rights and Liberties*, I do most *humbly submit* to the *Parliament of England* to *Consider*. They are *Men of Great Wisdom, Honour, and Justice*: and know how to prevent all future *Inconveniencies*. We have heard *Great Out-cries*, and deservedly, on *Breaking the Edict of Nantes*, and other *Stipulations*; How far the *Breaking our Constitution*, which has been of *Five Hundred years standing*, exceeds that, I leave the *World* to *judge*. It may perhaps be *urg'd*, That 'tis *convenient* for the *State of England*, that the *Supream Council* thereof should make their *Jurisdiction*

ction as *Large* as they can. But with *Submission*, I conceive that if this *Assumed Power* be not *Just*, it cannot be *convenient* for the State. What *Cicero* says in his *Offices*, *Nihil est Utile, nisi idem sit Honestum*, is most certainly true. Nor do I think, that 'tis any wise *necessary* to the *Good* of *England* to *Assert* this *High Jurisdiction* over *Ireland*. For since the *Statutes* of this *Kingdom* are made with such *Cautions*, and in such *Form*, as is prescribed by *Poyning's Act* 10 *H.7.* and by the 3d and 4th of *Phil.* and *Mar.* and whilest *Ireland* is in *English hands*, I do not see how 'tis possible for the *Parliament* of *Ireland* to do any thing that can be in the least *prejudicial* to *England*. But on the other hand, If *England* assume a *Jurisdiction* over *Ireland*, whereby they think their *Rights* and *Liberties* are taken away; That their *Parliaments* are rendred meerly *nugatory*, and that their *Lives* and *Fortunes* Depend on the *Will* of a *Legislature* wherein they are *not Parties*; there may be ill *Consequences* of this. *Advancing* the *Power* of the *Parliament* of *England*, by *breaking*

*breaking the Rights of another, may in time have ill Effects.*

The *Rights of Parliament* should be preserved *Sacred and Inviolable*, wherever they are found. This kind of Government, once so *Universal* all over *Europe*, is now almost *Vanished* from amongst the Nations thereof. Our Kings Dominions are the only Supporters of this noble *Gothick Constitution*, save only what little remains may be found thereof in *Poland*. We should not therefore make so light of that sort of Legislature, and as it were Abolish it in One Kingdom of the Three, wherein it appears; but rather Cherish and Encourage it wherever we meet it.



**FINIS.**

Uses of the Olreachtas





